SECTION I - ISSUES

1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
LIQUOR SALES	Strongly	Count	171	30	40	30	39	31	89	81	57	74	40
	support		23%	21%	26%	24%	20%	22%	24%	21%	26%	24%	18%
	Somewhat	Count	185	30	35	33	50	37	93	91	61	66	57
	support		24%	21%	23%	26%	26%	26%	25%	24%	28%	21%	25%
	Somewhat	Count	118	23	18	23	32	21	58	59	34	49	35
	oppose		16%	16%	12%	18%	17%	15%	16%	15%	15%	16%	15%
	Strongly	Count	231	47	52	30	58	45	110	121	43	100	88
	oppose		31%	33%	34%	24%	30%	32%	30%	31%	20%	32%	39%
	(DK/NS)	Count	52	12	8	9	14	8	17	35	25	19	7
			7%	9%	5%	7%	7%	6%	5%	9%	12%	6%	3%
VEHICLE	Strongly	Count	115	26	25	16	20	28	63	52	48	37	30
INSURANCE	support		15%	18%	16%	13%	10%	20%	17%	13%	22%	12%	13%
	Somewhat	Count	117	17	22	22	38	19	58	59	51	42	24
	support		16%	12%	14%	18%	20%	13%	16%	15%	23%	14%	11%
	Somewhat	Count	118	22	24	23	30	19	54	64	31	52	35
	oppose		16%	15%	16%	18%	16%	14%	15%	17%	14%	17%	16%
	Strongly	Count	362	68	74	59	92	69	175	184	62	165	135
	oppose	_	48%	48%	49%	47%	48%	48%	48%	48%	28%	53%	59%
	(DK/NS)	Count	44	10	8	5	13	8	16	26	27	14	3
0.1.01110	ļ., .		6%	7%	5%	4%	7%	5%	4%	7%	12%	4%	1%
CASINO GAMBLING	Strongly support	Count	99	19	17	15	20	27	58	40	39	43	16
GAMBLING			13%	13%	11%	12%	10%	19%	16%	10%	18%	14%	7%
	Somewhat support	Count	147	21	24	25	44	32	70	76	56	50	41
		0	19%	15%	16%	20%	23%	22%	19%	20%	25%	16%	18%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	129	22	26	23	34	24	68	61	27	57	45
	Strongly	Count	17%	15%	17%	18%	18%	17% 49	19%	16%	12%	18%	20% 107
	oppose	Count	291 38%	61 43%	67 44%	42 34%	72 37%	34%	136 37%	153 40%	58 27%	125 41%	47%
	(DK/NS)	Count	90	19	18	20	23	10	37 %	56	39	34	18
	(DIVINO)	Count	12%	13%	12%	16%	12%	7%	9%	14%	18%	11%	8%
ELECTRICITY &	Strongly	Count	84	17	14	10 %	16	27	48	36	38	29	17
NATURAL GAS	support	Count	11%	12%	9%	8%	8%	19%	13%	9%	17%	9%	8%
SERVICE	Somewhat	Count	98	12%	18	19	29	19%	51	47	45	23	30
	support	Count	13%	12%	12%	15%	15%	10%	14%	12%	20%	7%	13%
	Somewhat	Count	96	18	18	21	29	11	41	54	40	36	21
	oppose		13%	13%	12%	17%	15%	8%	11%	14%	18%	12%	9%
	Strongly	Count	435	84	93	68	107	84	213	219	75	203	156
	oppose		58%	59%	61%	54%	55%	59%	58%	57%	34%	66%	69%
	(DK/NS)	Count	43	6	10	8	13	6	14	29	22	19	2
	\2.3,		6%	4%	7%	6%	7%	4%	4%	8%	10%	6%	1%

SECTION I - ISSUES

1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?

					EDUCATION			N <16 AT	HOL	JSEHOLD INC	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
LIQUOR SALES	Strongly	Count	171	20	57	91	47	123	21	63	64	26	135	23	8	137
	support		23%	19%	34%	19%	25%	22%	13%	26%	25%	27%	21%	25%	17%	22%
	Somewhat	Count	185	31	39	114	42	142	39	58	68	25	152	15	13	156
	support		24%	30%	24%	24%	23%	25%	25%	24%	27%	25%	24%	16%	29%	25%
	Somewhat	Count	118	14	25	76	32	82	31	38	34	13	100	16	9	93
	oppose		16%	13%	15%	16%	17%	15%	20%	16%	13%	13%	16%	17%	19%	15%
	Strongly	Count	231	30	41	159	59	172	47	70	82	29	197	33	15	184
	oppose		31%	28%	25%	33%	32%	31%	30%	29%	32%	30%	31%	36%	31%	30%
	(DK/NS)	Count	52	11	4	36	8	41	17	16	6	5	46	5	2	45
			7%	10%	2%	8%	4%	7%	11%	6%	2%	5%	7%	5%	4%	7%
VEHICLE	Strongly	Count	115	27	21	64	26	88	26	33	35	24	86	20	4	92
INSURANCE	support		15%	25%	13%	14%	14%	16%	17%	14%	14%	24%	14%	22%	8%	15%
	Somewhat	Count	117	19	29	68	35	80	23	38	42	20	91	11	7	99
	support		16%	18%	18%	14%	19%	14%	15%	16%	17%	20%	14%	13%	15%	16%
	Somewhat	Count	118	11	39	69	29	89	28	37	39	10	103	11	7	100
	oppose		16%	10%	24%	14%	15%	16%	18%	15%	15%	10%	16%	13%	15%	16%
	Strongly	Count	362	39	67	252	90	269	62	124	132	41	311	44	28	286
	oppose		48%	37%	40%	53%	48%	48%	40%	51%	52%	42%	49%	48%	60%	47%
	(DK/NS)	Count	44	10	9	25	8	34	16	12	5	3	39	4	1	38
			6%	10%	5%	5%	4%	6%	10%	5%	2%	3%	6%	5%	3%	6%
CASINO	Strongly	Count	99	14	29	56	24	75	14	32	41	17	77	16	6	78
GAMBLING	support		13%	13%	18%	12%	13%	13%	9%	13%	16%	18%	12%	17%	12%	13%
	Somewhat	Count	147	19	43	85	43	104	27	50	53	23	117	13	8	126
	support		19%	18%	26%	18%	23%	19%	18%	21%	21%	24%	19%	14%	17%	20%
	Somewhat	Count	129	20	28	79	34	92	34	34	36	16	107	13	14	101
	oppose		17%	19%	17%	17%	18%	16%	22%	14%	14%	16%	17%	15%	30%	16%
	Strongly	Count	291	39	49	199	71	217	53	101	106	34	249	37	14	237
	oppose		38%	37%	30%	42%	38%	39%	34%	41%	42%	35%	40%	40%	30%	39%
	(DK/NS)	Count	90	14	17	58	16	72	26	27	17	8	80	12	5	73
			12%	14%	10%	12%	9%	13%	17%	11%	7%	8%	13%	13%	11%	12%
ELECTRICITY &	Strongly	Count	84	19	21	43	25	57	22	27	25	20	61	14	3	68
NATURAL GAS SERVICE	support		11%	18%	13%	9%	13%	10%	14%	11%	10%	21%	10%	15%	6%	11%
SERVICE	Somewhat	Count	98	16	34	45	18	79	24	26	29	16	77	14	9	75
	support		13%	15%	20%	9%	10%	14%	16%	10%	11%	17%	12%	15%	20%	12%
	Somewhat	Count	96	12	22	63	26	69	26	26	35	11	81	9	5	82
	oppose		13%	11%	13%	13%	14%	12%	17%	11%	14%	11%	13%	10%	11%	13%
	Strongly	Count	435	49	85	298	111	321	72	152	154	46	374	51	30	352
	oppose		58%	46%	51%	62%	59%	57%	46%	62%	61%	47%	59%	56%	63%	57%
	(DK/NS)	Count	43	10	4	28	7	34	11	15	10	5	38	4		39
			6%	10%	2%	6%	4%	6%	7%	6%	4%	5%	6%	4%		6%

SECTION I - ISSUES

1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?

						VOTE INTER				TED LAST P				P VOTER TY			N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
LIQUOR SALES	Strongly	Count	171	82	29	34	13	6	98	24	20	6	21	42	76	106	65
	support		23%	37%	13%	24%	16%	9%	36%	12%	19%	16%	12%	26%	35%	40%	13%
	Somewhat	Count	185	62	37	32	26	19	77	36	29	9	33	44	60	69	116
	support		24%	28%	17%	23%	33%	28%	28%	18%	27%	23%	19%	27%	28%	26%	24%
	Somewhat	Count	118	37	28	24	14	13	39	29	18	6	25	21	30	41	77
	oppose		16%	17%	13%	18%	18%	19%	14%	14%	16%	15%	14%	13%	14%	15%	16%
	Strongly	Count	231	30	116	42	20	18	48	100	37	16	93	51	41	37	194
	oppose		31%	13%	53%	30%	26%	26%	18%	51%	35%	39%	52%	31%	19%	14%	40%
	(DK/NS)	Count	52	10	10	6	5	12	10	10	4	3	5	4	11	14	37
			7%	5%	4%	5%	7%	18%	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	5%	8%
VEHICLE INSURANCE	Strongly	Count	115	50	19	20	13	5	56	15	16	7	13	30	46	62	53
INSURANCE	support	_	15%	22%	9%	14%	16%	8%	21%	7%	15%	18%	7%	18%	21%	23%	11%
	Somewhat support	Count	117	46	25	19	7	14	61	17	16	3	16	21	51	54	63
			16%	21%	11%	13%	9%	21%	22%	9%	15%	7%	9%	13%	23%	20%	13%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	118	50	23	16	13	16	55	15	17	9	10	26	49	63	56
		0	16%	22%	11%	12%	17%	24%	20%	8%	16%	21%	6%	16%	22%	24%	11%
	Strongly oppose	Count	362	66	148	80	38 47%	23	92	146 74%	53	20 50%	135	81	66	74	287
	(DK/NS)	Count	48%	30%	67%	57% 5	9	34%	34%	74%	49%	50%	76% 3	50%	30%	28%	59%
	(DK/NS)	Count	6%	10 4%	2%	3%	11%	14%	2%	3%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	30 6%
CASINO	Strongly	Count	99	33	25	22	9	3	44	21	12	7	18	28	370	53	46
GAMBLING	support	Count	13%	15%	12%	16%	12%	5%	16%	11%	11%	19%	10%	17%	15%	20%	9%
	Somewhat	Count	147	54	30	25	22	11	58	37	26	1970	34	22	59	60	86
	support	Count	19%	24%	14%	18%	27%	16%	21%	19%	24%	9%	19%	14%	27%	23%	18%
	Somewhat	Count	129	48	29	24	8	16	63	32	11	6	27	28	39	47	82
	oppose	- Count	17%	22%	13%	17%	11%	24%	23%	16%	10%	15%	15%	17%	18%	18%	17%
	Strongly	Count	291	63	120	53	28	20	83	94	49	20	88	73	65	76	215
	oppose	_	38%	29%	55%	38%	36%	30%	30%	47%	46%	50%	49%	45%	30%	29%	44%
	(DK/NS)	Count	90	24	16	14	12	16	24	14	10	3	9	11	22	30	60
	` ′		12%	11%	7%	10%	15%	25%	9%	7%	9%	6%	5%	7%	10%	11%	12%
ELECTRICITY &	Strongly	Count	84	32	13	17	9	5	36	11	15	6	10	19	34	44	40
NATURAL GAS	support		11%	14%	6%	13%	11%	8%	13%	6%	14%	14%	6%	12%	16%	17%	8%
SERVICE	Somewhat	Count	98	51	9	15	11	10	53	12	16	2	11	21	46	48	50
	support		13%	23%	4%	11%	14%	14%	20%	6%	15%	6%	6%	13%	21%	18%	10%
	Somewhat	Count	96	31	24	18	12	8	38	18	16	6	15	18	35	50	47
	oppose		13%	14%	11%	13%	15%	13%	14%	9%	15%	14%	9%	11%	16%	19%	10%
	Strongly	Count	435	96	171	83	43	33	133	152	58	25	139	103	91	109	326
	oppose		58%	43%	78%	60%	54%	50%	49%	77%	54%	62%	78%	63%	42%	41%	67%
	(DK/NS)	Count	43	13	2	4	5	10	10	4	3	1	2	1	12	15	27
			6%	6%	1%	3%	7%	15%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	6%	6%	6%

SECTION I - ISSUES
1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?
** SUMMARY TABLE **

				WII	NNIPEG REG	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG		(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
LIQUOR SALES	Support	47%	42%	49%	51%	46%	48%	50%	44%	54%	45%	43%
	Oppose	46%	49%	46%	42%	47%	47%	46%	47%	35%	48%	54%
	(DK)	7%	9%	5%	7%	7%	6%	5%	9%	12%	6%	3%
CASINO	Support	33%	28%	27%	32%	33%	42%	35%	30%	43%	30%	25%
GAMBLING	Oppose	56%	58%	61%	52%	55%	52%	56%	55%	39%	59%	67%
	(DK)	12%	13%	12%	16%	12%	7%	9%	14%	18%	11%	8%
VEHICLE	Support	31%	30%	30%	31%	30%	33%	33%	29%	45%	25%	24%
INSURANCE	Oppose	64%	63%	64%	65%	63%	62%	63%	64%	43%	70%	75%
	(DK)	6%	7%	5%	4%	7%	5%	4%	7%	12%	4%	1%
ELECTRICITY &	Support	24%	24%	21%	23%	23%	29%	27%	22%	38%	17%	21%
NATURAL GAS	Oppose	70%	72%	72%	71%	70%	67%	70%	71%	52%	77%	78%
SERVICE	(DK)	6%	4%	7%	6%	7%	4%	4%	8%	10%	6%	1%

SECTION I - ISSUES 1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				EDUCATION		CHILDRE	N <16 AT	ЦОІ	JSEHOLD INCO)ME	INDICE	ENOUS	INAN	IIGRANT STA	THE
		TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG		(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
LIQUOR SALES	Support	47%	48%	58%	43%	47%	47%	39%	49%	52%	52%	46%	41%	46%	48%
	Oppose	46%	41%	40%	49%	48%	45%	50%	44%	46%	43%	47%	53%	50%	45%
	(DK)	7%	10%	2%	8%	4%	7%	11%	6%	2%	5%	7%	5%	4%	7%
CASINO	Support	33%	31%	44%	30%	36%	32%	27%	34%	37%	42%	31%	32%	29%	33%
GAMBLING	Oppose	56%	56%	46%	58%	56%	55%	56%	55%	56%	51%	56%	55%	60%	55%
	(DK)	12%	14%	10%	12%	9%	13%	17%	11%	7%	8%	13%	13%	11%	12%
VEHICLE	Support	31%	43%	31%	28%	33%	30%	32%	29%	30%	45%	28%	34%	22%	31%
INSURANCE	Oppose	64%	47%	64%	67%	63%	64%	58%	66%	68%	52%	66%	61%	75%	63%
	(DK)	6%	10%	5%	5%	4%	6%	10%	5%	2%	3%	6%	5%	3%	6%
ELECTRICITY &	Support	24%	33%	33%	18%	23%	24%	30%	21%	21%	37%	22%	30%	26%	23%
	Oppose	70%	57%	65%	76%	73%	70%	63%	73%	75%	58%	72%	66%	74%	71%
CASINO CDE	(DK)	6%	10%	2%	6%	4%	6%	7%	6%	4%	5%	6%	4%		6%

SECTION I - ISSUES 1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				PRO\	/ VOTE INTEN	NTION		VC	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
		TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG		(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
LIQUOR SALES	Support	47%	65%	30%	47%	49%	37%	64%	30%	45%	39%	30%	53%	63%	65%	37%
	Oppose	46%	30%	66%	48%	44%	45%	32%	65%	51%	55%	66%	44%	33%	29%	55%
	(DK)	7%	5%	4%	5%	7%	18%	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	5%	8%
CASINO	Support	33%	39%	25%	35%	39%	21%	38%	29%	35%	28%	30%	31%	42%	43%	27%
GAMBLING	Oppose	56%	50%	68%	56%	46%	54%	53%	63%	56%	66%	65%	62%	48%	46%	61%
	(DK)	12%	11%	7%	10%	15%	25%	9%	7%	9%	6%	5%	7%	10%	11%	12%
VEHICLE	Support	31%	43%	20%	28%	25%	29%	43%	16%	30%	25%	16%	31%	44%	44%	24%
INSURANCE	Oppose	64%	52%	78%	69%	64%	58%	54%	81%	65%	71%	82%	66%	53%	52%	70%
	(DK)	6%	4%	2%	3%	11%	14%	2%	3%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	6%
ELECTRICITY &	Support	24%	37%	10%	24%	25%	22%	33%	12%	29%	20%	12%	25%	37%	35%	18%
NATURAL GAS	Oppose	70%	57%	89%	73%	69%	62%	63%	86%	69%	77%	87%	75%	58%	60%	76%
SERVICE	(DK)	6%	6%	1%	3%	7%	15%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	6%	6%	6%

SECTION I - ISSUES
2. In general, how much do you support amalgamating school divisions across Manitoba?

					WI	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	224	45	48	39	58	34	127	95	49	97	79
SCHOOL DIVISIONS ACROSS	support		30%	32%	32%	31%	30%	24%	35%	25%	22%	31%	35%
MANITOBA	Somewhat	Count	289	51	53	51	75	58	134	155	89	116	85
	support		38%	36%	35%	41%	39%	41%	37%	40%	40%	37%	38%
	Somewhat	Count	142	18	28	23	45	28	59	81	55	51	36
	oppose		19%	13%	18%	18%	23%	20%	16%	21%	25%	16%	16%
	Strongly	Count	101	28	23	12	15	23	46	54	27	46	27
	oppose		13%	20%	15%	10%	8%	16%	13%	14%	12%	15%	12%
Summary	Support		68%	68%	67%	72%	69%	64%	71%	65%	63%	69%	72%
	Oppose		32%	32%	33%	28%	31%	36%	29%	35%	37%	31%	28%

SECTION I - ISSUES
2. In general, how much do you support amalgamating school divisions across Manitoba?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	224	30	61	132	49	174	28	75	87	35	179	27	12	183
SCHOOL DIVISIONS	support		30%	28%	37%	28%	26%	31%	18%	30%	35%	36%	28%	30%	25%	30%
ACROSS MANITOBA	Somewhat	Count	289	36	66	185	80	206	62	90	96	37	245	30	26	231
MANITOBA	support		38%	34%	40%	39%	42%	37%	40%	37%	38%	37%	39%	33%	55%	38%
	Somewhat	Count	142	24	22	92	31	109	41	49	38	15	121	17	6	120
	oppose		19%	22%	13%	19%	17%	20%	27%	20%	15%	16%	19%	18%	12%	19%
	Strongly	Count	101	16	17	68	28	71	24	31	31	11	85	17	3	81
	oppose		13%	15%	10%	14%	15%	13%	15%	13%	12%	11%	14%	18%	7%	13%
Summary	Support		68%	63%	76%	66%	69%	68%	58%	67%	73%	73%	67%	64%	81%	67%
, <u> </u>	Oppose		32%	37%	24%	34%	31%	32%	42%	33%	27%	27%	33%	36%	19%	33%

SECTION I - ISSUES
2. In general, how much do you support amalgamating school divisions across Manitoba?

					PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS Of	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	224	125	22	37	24	11	135	23	25	14	20	63	104	137	87
SCHOOL DIVISIONS	support		30%	56%	10%	27%	30%	16%	50%	12%	23%	35%	11%	39%	48%	51%	18%
ACROSS MANITOBA	Somewhat	Count	289	69	90	59	30	29	90	86	39	15	76	61	66	85	204
MANITODA	support		38%	31%	41%	42%	38%	43%	33%	43%	37%	37%	43%	38%	30%	32%	42%
	Somewhat	Count	142	18	61	26	16	15	28	52	26	4	48	22	29	26	115
	oppose		19%	8%	28%	19%	20%	22%	10%	26%	24%	11%	27%	14%	13%	10%	24%
	Strongly	Count	101	10	46	16	9	13	18	37	17	7	33	16	19	18	83
	oppose		13%	5%	21%	12%	12%	20%	6%	19%	16%	17%	19%	10%	9%	7%	17%
Summary	Support		68%	87%	51%	69%	68%	58%	83%	55%	60%	72%	54%	77%	78%	84%	59%
	Oppose		32%	13%	49%	31%	32%	42%	17%	45%	40%	28%	46%	23%	22%	16%	41%

SECTION I - ISSUES
3. How much do you support amalgamating your own school division with one or more nearby ones?

					WI	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	204	43	46	36	48	32	114	88	48	88	68
LOCAL SCHOOL DIVISION	support		27%	30%	30%	29%	25%	23%	31%	23%	22%	29%	30%
	Somewhat	Count	276	47	49	50	79	51	141	135	97	102	78
	support		37%	33%	32%	40%	41%	36%	38%	35%	44%	33%	34%
	Somewhat	Count	144	23	25	21	44	31	62	82	49	56	39
	oppose		19%	16%	17%	17%	23%	22%	17%	21%	22%	18%	17%
	Strongly	Count	131	30	32	18	23	29	50	81	26	63	42
	oppose		17%	21%	21%	14%	12%	20%	14%	21%	12%	20%	19%
Summary	Support		64%	63%	62%	69%	65%	58%	70%	58%	66%	62%	64%
	Oppose		36%	37%	38%	31%	35%	42%	30%	42%	34%	38%	36%

 ${\bf SECTION~I-ISSUES}\\ {\bf 3.~How~much~do~you~support~amalgamating~your~own~school~division~with~one~or~more~nearby~ones?}$

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	204	25	59	118	38	165	27	68	77	30	164	23	14	166
LOCAL SCHOOL DIVISION	support		27%	24%	36%	25%	20%	29%	18%	28%	30%	31%	26%	26%	29%	27%
	Somewhat	Count	276	45	63	164	75	196	62	87	88	41	222	35	22	218
	support		37%	43%	38%	34%	40%	35%	40%	35%	35%	42%	35%	39%	46%	35%
	Somewhat	Count	144	21	24	97	33	110	43	44	39	14	127	11	7	126
	oppose		19%	20%	14%	20%	18%	20%	28%	18%	15%	15%	20%	13%	15%	20%
	Strongly	Count	131	14	20	98	41	89	22	47	49	12	117	21	5	105
	oppose		17%	13%	12%	21%	22%	16%	14%	19%	19%	13%	19%	23%	11%	17%
Summary	Support	•	64%	67%	74%	59%	60%	64%	58%	63%	65%	73%	61%	65%	74%	62%
	Oppose		36%	33%	26%	41%	40%	36%	42%	37%	35%	27%	39%	35%	26%	38%

SECTION I - ISSUES

3. How much do you support amalgamating your own school division with one or more nearby ones?

					PROV	VOTE INTE	NTION		VC	TED LAST PI	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	204	111	23	34	21	10	122	21	24	13	17	58	93	126	79
LOCAL SCHOOL DIVISION	support		27%	50%	10%	24%	26%	15%	45%	10%	22%	32%	10%	36%	43%	47%	16%
	Somewhat	Count	276	76	72	53	35	23	92	72	38	11	64	53	72	92	184
	support		37%	34%	33%	38%	44%	34%	34%	36%	35%	29%	36%	33%	33%	34%	38%
	Somewhat	Count	144	18	55	34	12	21	26	51	28	7	48	23	28	23	121
	oppose		19%	8%	25%	25%	15%	31%	9%	26%	26%	18%	27%	14%	13%	9%	25%
	Strongly	Count	131	17	69	18	12	13	33	54	18	8	48	28	25	26	105
	oppose		17%	7%	32%	13%	15%	20%	12%	27%	17%	21%	27%	18%	11%	10%	22%
Summary	Support	•	64%	84%	43%	63%	70%	50%	79%	47%	57%	61%	46%	68%	76%	82%	54%
	Oppose		36%	16%	57%	37%	30%	50%	21%	53%	43%	39%	54%	32%	24%	18%	46%

SECTION I - ISSUES
4. Based on what you know, how acceptable are each of the following ideas for amalgamating school divisions in Winnipeg?

					WII	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(743)	(140)	(149)	(123)	(192)	(138)	(360)	(379)	(216)	(305)	(222)
ONE CITY-WIDE	Totally acceptable	Count	207	43	46	34	55	29	122	83	45	92	70
DIVISION			28%	31%	31%	27%	28%	21%	34%	22%	21%	30%	32%
	Somewhat acceptable	Count	213	31	40	38	57	47	104	109	75	83	55
			29%	22%	27%	31%	29%	34%	29%	29%	35%	27%	25%
	Somewhat unacceptable	Count	124	26	22	17	37	23	53	70	44	46	34
			17%	19%	15%	14%	19%	17%	15%	19%	20%	15%	16%
	Totally unacceptable	Count	148	31	29	24	35	29	65	82	33	64	51
			20%	22%	19%	19%	18%	21%	18%	22%	15%	21%	23%
	Unsure	Count	51	8	12	11	9	10	16	35	19	20	11
			7%	6%	8%	9%	5%	7%	4%	9%	9%	7%	5%
Summary	Acceptable		57%	53%	57%	58%	58%	55%	63%	51%	56%	57%	56%
	Unacceptable		37%	41%	34%	33%	37%	38%	33%	40%	35%	36%	39%
	(DK)		7%	6%	8%	9%	5%	7%	4%	9%	9%	7%	5%
CREATE FOUR	Totally acceptable	Count	150	25	25	32	35	33	66	83	58	62	31
SCHOOL			20%	18%	17%	26%	18%	24%	18%	22%	27%	20%	14%
DIVISIONS	Somewhat acceptable	Count	338	53	74	58	96	58	157	180	102	126	110
			46%	38%	50%	47%	50%	42%	44%	47%	47%	41%	49%
	Somewhat unacceptable	Count	110	26	22	14	26	22	61	48	23	58	29
			15%	19%	15%	12%	14%	16%	17%	13%	11%	19%	13%
	Totally unacceptable	Count	84	21	17	12	22	12	54	30	13	37	34
			11%	15%	11%	10%	11%	9%	15%	8%	6%	12%	15%
	Unsure	Count	60	14	10	7	14	14	23	38	20	22	19
			8%	10%	7%	6%	7%	10%	6%	10%	9%	7%	8%
Summary	Acceptable	•	66%	56%	67%	73%	68%	65%	62%	70%	74%	62%	63%
	Unacceptable		26%	34%	26%	21%	25%	24%	32%	21%	17%	31%	28%
	(DK)		8%	10%	7%	6%	7%	10%	6%	10%	9%	7%	8%

SECTION I - ISSUES
4. Based on what you know, how acceptable are each of the following ideas for amalgamating school divisions in Winnipeg?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HC	N <16 AT ME	НОІ	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG			(743)	(102)	(162)	(471)	(185)	(549)	(152)	(241)	(248)	(95)	(620)	(90)	(46)	(603)
ONE CITY-WIDE	Totally acceptable	Count	207	30	54	122	43	162	34	71	74	26	170	26	9	171
DIVISION			28%	29%	33%	26%	23%	29%	22%	29%	30%	28%	27%	29%	20%	28%
	Somewhat acceptable	Count	213	31	46	134	65	146	43	63	80	37	168	27	16	168
			29%	30%	28%	29%	35%	27%	28%	26%	32%	39%	27%	31%	34%	28%
	Somewhat unacceptable	Count	124	11	28	86	31	93	28	40	41	18	105	14	7	103
			17%	10%	17%	18%	17%	17%	19%	17%	16%	19%	17%	16%	14%	17%
	Totally unacceptable	Count	148	21	21	103	36	109	30	49	46	10	131	20	8	120
			20%	21%	13%	22%	19%	20%	19%	20%	18%	11%	21%	22%	17%	20%
	Unsure	Count	51	10	14	25	10	40	18	18	7	4	45	2	6	41
			7%	9%	8%	5%	5%	7%	12%	7%	3%	4%	7%	2%	14%	7%
Summary	Acceptable		57%	60%	61%	54%	59%	56%	50%	56%	62%	67%	55%	59%	55%	56%
	Unacceptable		37%	31%	30%	40%	36%	37%	38%	37%	35%	30%	38%	38%	32%	37%
	(DK)		7%	9%	8%	5%	5%	7%	12%	7%	3%	4%	7%	2%	14%	7%
CREATE FOUR	Totally acceptable	Count	150	25	32	92	42	107	23	55	60	30	117	23	7	118
SCHOOL			20%	24%	20%	20%	23%	19%	15%	23%	24%	31%	19%	26%	16%	20%
DIVISIONS	Somewhat acceptable	Count	338	43	80	211	87	248	75	105	112	43	284	35	20	283
			46%	42%	50%	45%	47%	45%	49%	43%	45%	45%	46%	39%	43%	47%
	Somewhat unacceptable	Count	110	16	19	74	32	76	22	32	37	14	91	13	6	92
			15%	16%	12%	16%	18%	14%	15%	13%	15%	15%	15%	14%	12%	15%
	Totally unacceptable	Count	84	12	13	58	16	66	18	24	29	4	75	11	5	68
			11%	11%	8%	12%	9%	12%	12%	10%	12%	5%	12%	12%	11%	11%
	Unsure	Count	60	7	16	35	6	52	14	26	10	4	52	8	8	43
			8%	7%	10%	7%	3%	10%	9%	11%	4%	4%	8%	9%	18%	7%
Summary	Acceptable	•	66%	66%	70%	65%	70%	65%	64%	66%	69%	76%	65%	65%	59%	66%
	Unacceptable	•	26%	27%	20%	28%	27%	26%	27%	23%	27%	20%	27%	27%	23%	26%
	(DK)		8%	7%	10%	7%	3%	10%	9%	11%	4%	4%	8%	9%	18%	7%

SECTION I - ISSUES
4. Based on what you know, how acceptable are each of the following ideas for amalgamating school divisions in Winnipeg?

					PRO\	/ VOTE INTEN	NTION		VO	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS OF	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG			(743)	(216)	(216)	(137)	(79)	(66)	(265)	(195)	(107)	(40)	(174)	(159)	(215)	(262)	(481)
ONE CITY-WIDE	Totally acceptable	Count	207	109	32	33	21	8	126	28	17	9	23	48	95	116	91
DIVISION			28%	50%	15%	24%	26%	13%	47%	14%	16%	24%	13%	30%	44%	44%	19%
	Somewhat acceptable	Count	213	56	52	41	30	20	71	50	38	15	47	54	56	72	141
			29%	26%	24%	30%	38%	30%	27%	26%	36%	38%	27%	34%	26%	27%	29%
	Somewhat unacceptable	Count	124	22	48	26	16	10	22	45	25	6	41	16	30	31	93
			17%	10%	22%	19%	20%	16%	8%	23%	23%	15%	24%	10%	14%	12%	19%
	Totally unacceptable	Count	148	22	75	28	8	12	39	60	21	8	52	32	30	28	120
			20%	10%	35%	20%	10%	19%	15%	31%	20%	21%	30%	20%	14%	11%	25%
	Unsure	Count	51	8	10	9	5	15	8	12	5	1	12	9	3	14	36
			7%	3%	5%	7%	6%	23%	3%	6%	5%	3%	7%	6%	2%	6%	8%
Summary	Acceptable		57%	76%	39%	54%	64%	43%	74%	40%	52%	61%	40%	65%	70%	72%	48%
	Unacceptable		37%	20%	57%	40%	30%	35%	23%	54%	43%	35%	54%	30%	28%	23%	44%
	(DK)		7%	3%	5%	7%	6%	23%	3%	6%	5%	3%	7%	6%	2%	6%	8%
CREATE FOUR	Totally acceptable	Count	150	49	35	33	18	7	58	35	27	8	29	41	46	65	86
SCHOOL			20%	23%	16%	24%	23%	11%	22%	18%	25%	19%	17%	26%	22%	25%	18%
DIVISIONS	Somewhat acceptable	Count	338	98	105	62	36	25	117	94	47	20	84	68	96	114	224
			46%	46%	49%	45%	45%	38%	44%	48%	44%	52%	48%	43%	45%	44%	47%
	Somewhat unacceptable	Count	110	25	38	21	13	11	40	31	17	7	28	25	30	34	76
			15%	12%	18%	16%	16%	17%	15%	16%	15%	18%	16%	16%	14%	13%	16%
	Totally unacceptable	Count	84	35	22	12	7	6	41	20	9	3	19	15	36	32	52
			11%	16%	10%	8%	9%	9%	16%	10%	9%	8%	11%	9%	17%	12%	11%
	Unsure	Count	60	8	16	9	5	17	9	16	8	1	15	10	6	17	43
			8%	4%	7%	7%	6%	26%	3%	8%	7%	3%	9%	6%	3%	7%	9%
Summary	Acceptable		66%	68%	65%	69%	68%	49%	66%	66%	69%	71%	65%	69%	66%	68%	64%
	Unacceptable		26%	28%	28%	24%	25%	26%	31%	26%	24%	26%	27%	25%	31%	25%	27%
	(DK)		8%	4%	7%	7%	6%	26%	3%	8%	7%	3%	9%	6%	3%	7%	9%

SECTION I - ISSUES
5. Addiction to methamphetamines has become a serious concern in Manitoba in the past few years. How would you rate the provincial PC performance in dealing with this issue?

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
GOV DEALING	Excellent	Count	34	4	6	1	5	18	19	15	23	7	3
WITH METH			4%	2%	4%	1%	3%	13%	5%	4%	11%	2%	1%
ISSUE	Good	Count	105	19	21	20	29	16	65	40	29	37	39
			14%	13%	14%	16%	15%	11%	18%	10%	13%	12%	17%
	Fair	Count	190	25	50	33	59	24	93	97	60	66	64
			25%	17%	33%	26%	31%	16%	25%	25%	27%	21%	28%
	Poor	Count	427	95	76	71	99	85	189	234	107	198	122
			57%	67%	50%	57%	51%	59%	52%	61%	49%	64%	54%
Summary	Exc/Good		18%	16%	17%	17%	18%	24%	23%	14%	24%	14%	18%
	Fair/Poor		82%	84%	83%	83%	82%	76%	77%	86%	76%	86%	82%

SECTION I - ISSUES
5. Addiction to methamphetamines has become a serious concern in Manitoba in the past few years. How would you rate the provincial PC performance in dealing with this issue?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO	N <16 AT ME	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	DME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
GOV DEALING	Excellent	Count	34	8	12	10	6	24	1	17	13	19	11	4	1	25
WITH METH			4%	7%	7%	2%	3%	4%	1%	7%	5%	20%	2%	5%	2%	4%
ISSUE	Good	Count	105	16	29	61	28	77	28	32	30	8	90	21	9	75
			14%	15%	17%	13%	15%	14%	18%	13%	12%	8%	14%	23%	19%	12%
	Fair	Count	190	29	48	111	46	142	38	57	62	23	160	16	12	161
			25%	28%	29%	23%	25%	25%	25%	23%	24%	23%	25%	18%	26%	26%
	Poor	Count	427	52	77	296	107	316	88	139	149	48	369	49	25	353
			57%	49%	47%	62%	57%	56%	57%	56%	59%	49%	59%	54%	53%	57%
Summary	Exc/Good		18%	23%	24%	15%	18%	18%	18%	20%	17%	28%	16%	28%	20%	16%
	Fair/Poor		82%	77%	76%	85%	82%	82%	82%	80%	83%	72%	84%	72%	80%	84%

SECTION I - ISSUES
5. Addiction to methamphetamines has become a serious concern in Manitoba in the past few years. How would you rate the provincial PC performance in dealing with this issue?

					PRO\	VOTE INTE	NTION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS OF	BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
GOV DEALING	Excellent	Count	34	12	4	6	4	2	17	4	3	6	4	19	6	20	13
WITH METH			4%	6%	2%	4%	5%	3%	6%	2%	3%	16%	2%	12%	3%	8%	3%
ISSUE	Good	Count	105	74	6	9	3	4	66	10	11	2	8	21	50	76	30
			14%	34%	3%	6%	4%	6%	24%	5%	11%	5%	5%	13%	23%	28%	6%
	Fair	Count	190	93	35	29	13	16	100	26	22	2	23	31	82	101	89
			25%	42%	16%	21%	17%	23%	37%	13%	21%	6%	13%	19%	38%	38%	18%
	Poor	Count	427	42	174	95	59	45	88	158	71	29	142	90	80	70	357
			57%	19%	79%	68%	74%	68%	32%	80%	66%	72%	80%	56%	37%	26%	73%
Summary	Exc/Good	•	18%	39%	5%	11%	10%	9%	31%	8%	13%	22%	7%	25%	26%	36%	9%
	Fair/Poor	-	82%	61%	95%	89%	90%	91%	69%	92%	87%	78%	93%	75%	74%	64%	91%

SECTION I - ISSUES
6. In your view, would the NDP or the Liberals do a better, or worse, job dealing with the meth crisis than the provincial PC have done so far?

					WII	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPE	EG .		(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
M-NDP	Much better	Count	153	23	23	18	39	49	68	83	46	71	36
			20%	16%	15%	15%	20%	35%	19%	21%	21%	23%	16%
	A little	Count	211	33	42	36	55	44	94	115	70	77	64
	better		28%	23%	28%	29%	28%	31%	26%	30%	32%	25%	28%
	A little	Count	82	13	22	16	21	9	53	29	25	26	31
	worse		11%	9%	14%	13%	11%	7%	14%	8%	11%	8%	14%
	Much worse	Count	98	26	20	21	20	11	60	38	15	47	35
			13%	18%	13%	17%	11%	7%	16%	10%	7%	15%	16%
	(DK/NS)	Count	213	48	45	33	58	29	92	121	63	89	61
			28%	33%	30%	26%	30%	20%	25%	31%	29%	29%	27%
Summary	Better		48%	39%	43%	44%	49%	66%	44%	51%	53%	48%	44%
	Worse		24%	27%	27%	30%	22%	14%	31%	17%	18%	24%	29%
	(DK)		28%	33%	30%	26%	30%	20%	25%	31%	29%	29%	27%
M-LIB	Much better	Count	96	16	11	15	25	29	42	52	33	41	22
			13%	11%	7%	12%	13%	20%	11%	13%	15%	13%	10%
	A little	Count	253	42	46	32	68	64	119	132	79	104	70
	better		33%	30%	30%	26%	35%	45%	32%	34%	36%	34%	31%
	A little	Count	88	16	22	16	23	11	56	32	20	36	32
	worse		12%	11%	14%	13%	12%	8%	15%	8%	9%	12%	14%
	Much worse	Count	88	18	25	21	16	7	50	38	21	35	32
			12%	13%	17%	17%	8%	5%	14%	10%	9%	11%	14%
	(DK/NS)	Count	232	50	48	41	62	31	100	132	67	94	71
			31%	35%	32%	33%	32%	22%	27%	34%	30%	30%	31%
Summary	Better		46%	41%	38%	37%	48%	65%	44%	48%	51%	47%	41%
	Worse		23%	24%	31%	30%	20%	13%	29%	18%	18%	23%	28%
	(DK)	-	31%	35%	32%	33%	32%	22%	27%	34%	30%	30%	31%

SECTION I - ISSUES
6. In your view, would the NDP or the Liberals do a better, or worse, job dealing with the meth crisis than the provincial PC have done so far?

					EDUCATION	1	CHILDRE	N <16 AT	ЦОІ	JSEHOLD INCO)ME	INDIGE	ENOUS	INAN	IIGRANT STA	THE
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIP	EG		(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
M-NDP	Much better	Count	153	18	31	103	45	107	26	53	63	27	122	20	10	124
			20%	17%	19%	22%	24%	19%	17%	22%	25%	28%	19%	22%	20%	20%
	A little better	Count	211	33	26	150	58	153	38	81	68	31	176	26	13	172
			28%	32%	16%	31%	31%	27%	25%	33%	27%	32%	28%	28%	28%	28%
	A little	Count	82	13	24	45	15	66	18	26	27	11	69	15	4	63
	worse		11%	13%	14%	9%	8%	12%	12%	10%	11%	12%	11%	16%	9%	10%
	Much worse	Count	98	10	29	56	28	68	18	28	39	7	78	15	4	76
			13%	10%	17%	12%	15%	12%	12%	11%	15%	7%	12%	16%	9%	12%
	(DK/NS)	Count	213	30	56	124	42	166	54	58	55	21	186	16	16	181
			28%	29%	34%	26%	22%	30%	35%	24%	22%	21%	29%	17%	34%	29%
Summary	Better		48%	49%	34%	53%	55%	46%	41%	55%	52%	60%	47%	50%	48%	48%
	Worse		24%	23%	32%	21%	23%	24%	24%	22%	26%	19%	23%	33%	18%	23%
	(DK)		28%	29%	34%	26%	22%	30%	35%	24%	22%	21%	29%	17%	34%	29%
M-LIB	Much better	Count	96	16	16	64	23	73	17	35	36	20	74	13	3	80
			13%	15%	10%	13%	12%	13%	11%	14%	14%	21%	12%	14%	7%	13%
	A little better	Count	253	35	40	176	67	184	52	86	89	41	209	35	15	203
			33%	33%	24%	37%	36%	33%	34%	35%	35%	42%	33%	38%	32%	33%
	A little	Count	88	13	23	52	23	64	18	25	31	6	79	12	5	71
	worse		12%	13%	14%	11%	12%	11%	11%	10%	12%	6%	12%	13%	11%	12%
	Much worse	Count	88	11	24	50	24	62	15	27	35	8	68	13	6	66
			12%	11%	14%	10%	13%	11%	10%	11%	14%	8%	11%	15%	12%	11%
	(DK/NS)	Count	232	30	62	136	51	177	53	72	61	23	202	19	18	196
			31%	29%	38%	29%	27%	32%	34%	29%	24%	24%	32%	20%	38%	32%
Summary	Better		46%	48%	34%	50%	48%	46%	45%	49%	50%	62%	45%	52%	39%	46%
	Worse		23%	23%	28%	21%	25%	22%	21%	21%	26%	14%	23%	27%	23%	22%
	(DK)		31%	29%	38%	29%	27%	32%	34%	29%	24%	24%	32%	20%	38%	32%

SECTION I - ISSUES
6. In your view, would the NDP or the Liberals do a better, or worse, job dealing with the meth crisis than the provincial PC have done so far?

					PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	NDI	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS OF	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIP	PEG		(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
M-NDP	Much better	Count	153	4	100	29	11	4	18	92	15	11	87	36	9	15	138
			20%	2%	46%	21%	14%	6%	7%	47%	14%	28%	49%	22%	4%	6%	28%
	A little	Count	211	12	88	56	34	10	48	71	46	16	63	55	40	43	167
	better		28%	6%	40%	41%	43%	15%	18%	36%	43%	40%	36%	34%	18%	16%	34%
	A little	Count	82	56	2	11	9	4	50	4	11	2	4	18	38	51	31
	worse		11%	25%	1%	8%	11%	5%	18%	2%	10%	5%	2%	11%	18%	19%	6%
	Much worse	Count	98	84	1	4	2	2	79	2	5	1	2	13	69	80	18
			13%	38%	1%	3%	3%	3%	29%	1%	4%	3%	1%	8%	31%	30%	4%
	(DK/NS)	Count	213	65	29	39	23	48	76	30	30	9	22	40	62	77	135
			28%	29%	13%	28%	29%	71%	28%	15%	28%	23%	12%	25%	28%	29%	28%
Summary	Better		48%	7%	86%	62%	57%	21%	25%	82%	57%	68%	85%	56%	23%	22%	62%
	Worse		24%	63%	1%	10%	13%	8%	48%	3%	15%	9%	3%	19%	49%	49%	10%
	(DK)		28%	29%	13%	28%	29%	71%	28%	15%	28%	23%	12%	25%	28%	29%	28%
M-LIB	Much better	Count	96	2	36	41	9	3	9	39	23	11	37	26	13	12	84
			13%	1%	17%	30%	11%	4%	3%	20%	21%	28%	21%	16%	6%	4%	17%
	A little	Count	253	18	124	54	38	10	51	103	49	21	95	63	44	49	203
	better		33%	8%	56%	39%	48%	14%	19%	52%	46%	53%	53%	39%	20%	19%	42%
	A little	Count	88	63	10	5	5	4	61	9	7	1	7	19	42	52	35
	worse		12%	28%	5%	3%	6%	6%	22%	4%	6%	2%	4%	11%	19%	20%	7%
	Much worse	Count	88	71	4	2	4	3	68	4	3		4	14	55	73	14
			12%	32%	2%	1%	5%	4%	25%	2%	2%		2%	9%	25%	27%	3%
	(DK/NS)	Count	232	68	46	37	23	48	84	44	27	7	35	41	64	80	152
			31%	31%	21%	27%	29%	71%	31%	22%	25%	17%	20%	26%	29%	30%	31%
Summary	Better		46%	9%	73%	68%	60%	18%	22%	71%	66%	81%	74%	54%	26%	23%	59%
	Worse		23%	60%	6%	5%	11%	11%	47%	6%	9%	2%	6%	20%	44%	47%	10%
	(DK)		31%	31%	21%	27%	29%	71%	31%	22%	25%	17%	20%	26%	29%	30%	31%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG	ì		(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
More detox	#1	Count	251	43	49	48	65	47	98	151	58	105	88
programs/			33%	30%	32%	39%	33%	33%	27%	39%	27%	34%	39%
long-term	#2	Count	215	45	48	38	49	35	115	101	52	94	69
treatment beds			28%	32%	32%	31%	25%	25%	31%	26%	24%	30%	30%
	#3	Count	141	28	26	21	40	26	67	73	50	57	35
			19%	20%	17%	16%	21%	18%	18%	19%	23%	18%	15%
	#4	Count	90	17	11	13	32	17	50	39	38	35	17
			12%	12%	7%	10%	16%	12%	14%	10%	17%	11%	7%
	#5	Count	26	3	8	2	4	8	18	8	14	8	4
			3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	6%	5%	2%	6%	3%	2%
	(Not rated)	Count	32	6	10	3	4	9	18	14	8	10	14
			4%	4%	6%	2%	2%	6%	5%	4%	4%	3%	6%
Tougher	#1	Count	176	43	43	20	39	31	107	69	49	78	49
enforcement of			23%	30%	28%	16%	20%	22%	29%	18%	22%	25%	21%
drug laws	#2	Count	47	9	8	8	12	9	27	20	15	19	12
			6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	5%
	#3	Count	66	14	9	16	17	9	30	35	23	25	17
			9%	10%	6%	13%	9%	7%	8%	9%	11%	8%	8%
	#4	Count	95	10	28	19	26	12	53	42	29	40	26
			13%	7%	18%	15%	13%	9%	14%	11%	13%	13%	12%
	#5	Count	314	55	52	52	87	68	122	190	93	129	92
			42%	39%	34%	41%	45%	48%	33%	49%	42%	42%	40%
	(Not rated)	Count	59	11	12	10	13	13	28	30	11	17	31
			8%	8%	8%	8%	6%	9%	8%	8%	5%	5%	14%
Expand drug	#1	Count	118	18	28	17	33	21	62	54	50	37	31
treatment court			16%	13%	19%	13%	17%	14%	17%	14%	23%	12%	14%
	#2	Count	174	36	39	24	48	27	83	90	53	79	42
			23%	25%	25%	19%	25%	19%	23%	23%	24%	25%	18%
	#3	Count	157	25	31	34	32	36	81	75	36	80	41
			21%	18%	20%	27%	17%	25%	22%	20%	16%	26%	18%
	#4	Count	208	44	36	34	52	43	87	120	50	87	71
			28%	31%	24%	27%	27%	30%	24%	31%	23%	28%	31%
	#5	Count	46	7	5	8	16	9	23	22	21	12	13
			6%	5%	3%	6%	8%	6%	6%	6%	9%	4%	6%
	(Not rated)	Count	54	12	13	9	12	8	30	23	10	14	30
			7%	9%	9%	7%	6%	5%	8%	6%	5%	4%	13%
More street	#1	Count	119	26	21	23	31	19	63	57	29	60	30
outreach teams			16%	18%	14%	18%	16%	13%	17%	15%	13%	19%	13%
	#2	Count	207	29	32	42	63	41	90	115	57	73	77
			27%	21%	21%	33%	32%	29%	25%	30%	26%	24%	34%
	#3	Count	216	40	53	31	56	35	95	121	63	87	66
			29%	28%	35%	25%	29%	25%	26%	31%	29%	28%	29%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					WII	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
More street	#4	Count	131	30	25	18	27	30	75	54	43	62	26
outreach teams			17%	21%	17%	15%	14%	21%	20%	14%	20%	20%	11%
	#5	Count	32	8	5	6	5	9	17	15	18	10	5
			4%	6%	3%	5%	2%	6%	5%	4%	8%	3%	2%
	(Not rated)	Count	51	9	15	5	12	9	27	23	10	17	24
			7%	7%	10%	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%	5%	10%
Safe consumption	#1	Count	92	12	11	17	25	26	37	55	34	29	29
site			12%	9%	7%	14%	13%	18%	10%	14%	15%	9%	13%
	#2	Count	104	20	23	12	20	30	48	56	40	40	24
			14%	14%	15%	10%	10%	21%	13%	14%	18%	13%	11%
	#3	Count	114	22	18	16	36	22	57	55	36	40	38
			15%	15%	12%	13%	19%	16%	16%	14%	16%	13%	17%
	#4	Count	140	25	28	28	37	22	52	88	43	56	40
			18%	17%	18%	23%	19%	15%	14%	23%	20%	18%	18%
	#5	Count	245	51	59	45	60	30	137	108	58	121	66
			32%	36%	39%	36%	31%	21%	37%	28%	26%	39%	29%
	(Not rated)	Count	61	13	14	6	15	13	36	25	9	23	29
			8%	9%	9%	5%	8%	9%	10%	6%	4%	8%	13%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					EDUCATION			N <16 AT ME	HOU	JSEHOLD INC	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMN	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
More detox programs/	#1	Count	251	36	48	166 35%	59	190 34%	45	85 35%	92 36%	24	221	17	15	219
long-term	#2	Count	33%	34% 22	29% 54	135%	31% 52	161	29%	35% 69	70	24% 32	35% 173	18% 25	32%	36%
treatment beds	#2	Count	215 28%	21%	32%	28%	28%	29%	28%	28%	28%	33%	27%	28%	18 37%	289
	#3	Count	141	23	27	91	44	97	34	41	45	17	118	25	6	110
	,,,,	- Count	19%	22%	16%	19%	23%	17%	22%	17%	18%	18%	19%	27%	14%	18%
	#4	Count	90	11	20	57	21	67	18	29	32	16	71	9	3	77
			12%	11%	12%	12%	11%	12%	12%	12%	12%	16%	11%	10%	6%	13%
	#5	Count	26	6	6	14	6	20	7	9	10	6	21	5	3	19
			3%	6%	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	5%	6%	3%
	(Not rated)	Count	32	7	10	14	6	26	7	12	4	3	27	10	2	19
			4%	7%	6%	3%	3%	5%	5%	5%	2%	3%	4%	11%	5%	3%
Tougher	#1	Count	176	34	43	97	52	123	40	58	52	21	146	27	15	133
enforcement of drug laws			23%	32%	26%	20%	28%	22%	26%	23%	21%	22%	23%	30%	32%	22%
urug laws	#2	Count	47	7	11	29	10	36	7	19	15	6	40	6	1	39
			6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	4%	8%	6%	6%	6%	7%	3%	6%
	#3	Count	66	14	11	39	17	47	15	22	23	12	49	7	1	57
			9%	14%	7%	8%	9%	8%	10%	9%	9%	12%	8%	7%	2%	9%
	#4	Count	95	13	25	55	25	68	20	29	32	10	78	15	5	74
	#5	0	13%	12%	15%	11%	13%	12%	13%	12%	13%	10%	12%	16%	11%	12%
	#5	Count	314 42%	29 28%	65 39%	219 46%	75 40%	236 42%	59 38%	102 42%	112 44%	43	266 42%	26 28%	20 42%	268 44%
	(Not rated)	Count	59	28% 8	39% 10	46%	40%	50	13	16	19	44%	42% 51	10	42%	44%
	(Not rated)	Count	8%	8%	6%	8%	5%	9%	8%	6%	8%	5%	8%	12%	10%	7%
Expand drug	#1	Count	118	19	30	68	22	95	29	41	34	16	99	21	5	92
treatment court	" '	- Count	16%	18%	18%	14%	12%	17%	19%	17%	14%	17%	16%	23%	10%	15%
	#2	Count	174	26	38	109	47	125	44	52	61	12	154	26	12	136
			23%	25%	23%	23%	25%	22%	28%	21%	24%	12%	24%	28%	25%	22%
	#3	Count	157	17	49	90	37	118	26	49	59	23	128	4	12	139
			21%	16%	29%	19%	20%	21%	17%	20%	23%	24%	20%	5%	26%	23%
	#4	Count	208	28	31	147	65	140	40	67	74	31	169	21	13	173
			28%	26%	19%	31%	35%	25%	26%	27%	29%	32%	27%	23%	28%	28%
	#5	Count	46	7	9	28	12	34	8	19	13	9	36	7	5	34
			6%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	5%	8%	5%	9%	6%	8%	10%	6%
	(Not rated)	Count	54	9	9	36	5	49	8	17	12	6	45	12	1	41
			7%	8%	6%	8%	3%	9%	5%	7%	5%	6%	7%	14%	1%	7%
More street	#1	Count	119	11	27	79	33	83	20	39	43	17	93	11	8	98
outreach teams	#0	0	16%	10%	16%	16%	17%	15%	13%	16%	17%	17%	15%	12%	16%	16%
	#2	Count	207	35	40	132	51	152	40	59	73	27	174	22	10	175
	#3	Count	27%	33%	24%	28%	27%	27%	26%	24%	29%	28%	28%	24%	21%	28% 178
	#3	Count	216 29%	22 21%	45 27%	145 30%	51 27%	164 29%	45 29%	81 33%	64 25%	23 24%	185 29%	25 27%	13 27%	178
	#4	Count	131	21%	39	71	32	99	30	33%	50	17	111	17	10	103
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Journ	17%	19%	23%	15%	17%	18%	20%	15%	20%	17%	18%	19%	22%	17%
	#5	Count	32	19%	23%	15%	7	25	10	12	9	7	25	19%	22 //0	27
	"0	Journ	4%	8%	3%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%	3%	7%	4%	5%		4%
	(Not rated)	Count	51	9	10	32	14	37	10	18	14	7	43	11	6	33
	(7%	8%	6%	7%	7%	7%	6%	7%	5%	7%	7%	12%	13%	5%
Safe consumption	#1	Count	92	6	18	68	23	69	21	23	32	19	71	15	4	72
site			12%	5%	11%	14%	12%	12%	14%	9%	13%	20%	11%	17%	9%	12%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCC	ME	INDIGE	NOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
Safe consumption	#2	Count	104	14	20	69	24	80	19	42	32	19	84	8	6	90
site			14%	13%	12%	14%	13%	14%	12%	17%	13%	20%	13%	9%	13%	15%
	#3	Count	114	18	22	74	29	83	21	33	47	14	98	14	9	91
			15%	17%	13%	16%	16%	15%	13%	14%	19%	14%	16%	16%	20%	15%
	#4	Count	140	16	33	89	30	109	28	55	41	16	120	11	9	121
			18%	15%	20%	19%	16%	19%	18%	23%	16%	16%	19%	12%	18%	20%
	#5	Count	245	37	63	140	71	168	52	73	85	25	201	31	13	198
			32%	35%	38%	29%	38%	30%	34%	30%	34%	26%	32%	34%	28%	32%
	(Not rated)	Count	61	15	9	37	11	51	14	18	15	5	56	12	6	43
			8%	14%	6%	8%	6%	9%	9%	7%	6%	5%	9%	14%	12%	7%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

				1	DDO	WOTE INITE	ITION		1/0	TED LAGED	DOV/ EL EOTI	ON!	ND	NOTED TV	-	\//E\\/\C O\	LDUDOET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	VOTE INTEN	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	ROV ELECTION	JN GP	Committed	P VOTER TYP Lapsed	Non	VIEWS ON Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
More detay	#1	Count	` '	` '	` ′	` '	` '	` '	, ,	` '	` '	` '	` '	` '	` '	` ′	` ′
More detox programs/	# 1	Count	251	58 26%	98 44%	45 33%	23	23 34%	82 30%	82 42%	36 34%	17 43%	73 41%	54 34%	65 30%	71 27%	181 37%
long-term	#2	Count	33% 215	65	60	35%	28% 27	20	72	42% 59	27	43%	56	49	54	71	144
treatment beds	#2	Count	28%	29%	27%	25%		30%	26%	30%	25%	23%	32%		25%	27%	29%
	#3	Count	141	29%			35% 16	10	51			10	28	30% 24			
	#3	Count	19%	20%	29 13%	36 26%	21%	15%	19%	30 15%	23 22%	25%	16%	15%	52 24%	52 20%	89
	#4	Count	90	20%	25	15	21%	15%	37	15%	13	25%	11	22	24%	40	18% 50
	#4	Count	12%	12%	11%	11%	10%	13%	14%	9%	12%	3%	6%	13%	12%	15%	10%
	#5	Count	26	12%	5	5	2	13%	14%	9%	12%	3%	3	13%	12%	16	10%
	#3	Count	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	6%	3%	6%	2%
	(Not rated)	Count	3%	17	270	3%	4	3%	18	6	3	270	6	3	16	17	15
	(Not rated)	Count	4%	8%	1%	3%	5%	7%	7%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	7%	6%	3%
Toughor	#1	Count															
Tougher enforcement of	" '	Count	176 23%	102 46%	20 9%	24 17%	9	13 19%	109 40%	13 7%	13 12%	3 7%	12 7%	40 25%	78 36%	109 41%	67 14%
drug laws	#2	Count	47			17%	11%	19%			12%	7% 1	7% 8			41%	
-	#4	Count		17 8%	5 2%	4%	6	12%	22 8%	10 5%	6%	2%	5%	8	18		20 4%
	#3	Count	6%				7%					2%		5%	8%	10%	
	#3	Courit	66	22	17	13	4	5	34	15	7		10	13	24	29	36 7%
	#4	Count	9%	10%	8%	10%	5%	8%	12%	8%	7%	4	6%	8%	11%	11%	
	#4	Count	95	33	21	23	9	9	37	13	19		13	26	25	36	59
	#5	0	13%	15%	9%	17%	11%	13%	14%	7%	17%	9%	8%	16%	12%	14%	12%
	#5	Count	314	32	134	63	48	28	51	127	51	30	118	65	54	48	266
	(NI=4 ==4==1)	0	42%	14%	61%	46%	60%	41%	19%	64%	47%	76%	66%	40%	25%	18%	54%
	(Not rated)	Count	59	16	23	8	5	5	18	19	12	2	16	10	19	18	41
			8%	7%	10%	6%	6%	7%	7%	10%	11%	6%	9%	6%	9%	7%	8%
Expand drug treatment court	#1	Count	118	25	26	22	24	14	30	25	20	10	21	21	33	36	81
irealinent court	110		16%	11%	12%	16%	31%	21%	11%	13%	18%	26%	12%	13%	15%	14%	17%
	#2	Count	174	55	59	32	11	8	69	42	24	6	37	36	52	75	99
	110		23%	25%	27%	23%	14%	12%	25%	21%	23%	15%	21%	22%	24%	28%	20%
	#3	Count	157	57	39	24	11	20	60	36	22	7	33	33	46	56	100
			21%	26%	18%	17%	14%	30%	22%	18%	20%	16%	18%	20%	21%	21%	20%
	#4	Count	208	57	71	39	22	16	73	69	26	13	63	50	55	66	142
	"5		28%	26%	32%	28%	28%	24%	27%	35%	24%	33%	36%	31%	25%	25%	29%
	#5	Count	46	11	8	13	8	2	19	8	9	3	7	12	17	17	29
	(11.1.1.1)		6%	5%	4%	9%	10%	4%	7%	4%	8%	7%	4%	7%	8%	6%	6%
	(Not rated)	Count	54	18	17	8	3	6	21	18	7	1	16	11	16	16	38
			7%	8%	8%	6%	4%	9%	8%	9%	7%	3%	9%	7%	7%	6%	8%
More street outreach teams	#1	Count	119	31	40	22	11	11	36	39	17	5	36	24	27	34	85
outicacii teaiiis	40	0	16%	14%	18%	16%	13%	16%	13%	20%	15%	13%	20%	15%	12%	13%	17%
	#2	Count	207	70	50	45	19	19	75	48	35	16	40	44	71	72	135
	40	0	27%	32%	23%	32%	24%	28%	28%	24%	33%	39%	23%	27%	33%	27%	28%
	#3	Count	216	54	83	32	26	17	77	67	23	10	62	56	48	69	147
			29%	24%	38%	23%	33%	25%	28%	34%	22%	24%	35%	34%	22%	26%	30%
	#4	Count	131	39	33	23	17	7	48	27	19	7	23	27	40	53	77
			17%	18%	15%	16%	21%	11%	18%	13%	18%	17%	13%	16%	19%	20%	16%
	#5	Count	32	5	6	9	2	6	9	8	5		7	7	5	15	18
			4%	2%	3%	6%	2%	10%	3%	4%	5%		4%	4%	2%	5%	4%
	(Not rated)	Count	51	22	8	8	5	6	26	11	8	2	9	6	26	23	28
	1		7%	10%	4%	6%	7%	10%	10%	5%	7%	6%	5%	4%	12%	9%	6%
Safe consumption	#1	Count	92	6	36	25	13	7	14	39	22	4	35	23	15	16	76
site			12%	3%	16%	18%	17%	10%	5%	19%	21%	10%	20%	14%	7%	6%	16%
	#2	Count	104	12	45	19	15	9	29	40	13	7	36	25	19	19	86
			14%	5%	21%	13%	19%	14%	11%	20%	12%	17%	20%	15%	9%	7%	18%
	#3	Count	114	20	39	25	16	7	22	36	22	11	31	27	21	34	80
			15%	9%	18%	18%	20%	11%	8%	18%	21%	29%	17%	17%	10%	13%	16%

SECTION I - ISSUES

7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VO	TED LAST PE	ROV ELECTIC	N	NDF	VOTER TYP	E	VIEWS ON	BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
Safe consumption	#4	Count	140	29	44	26	18	18	34	45	18	13	42	25	34	35	105
site			18%	13%	20%	19%	22%	26%	13%	23%	17%	32%	24%	15%	16%	13%	21%
	#5	Count	245	128	40	36	14	20	140	24	25	4	20	56	97	134	111
			32%	58%	18%	26%	17%	30%	52%	12%	23%	9%	11%	35%	45%	50%	23%
	(Not rated)	Count	61	27	16	7	4	6	33	15	7	1	13	7	31	30	32
	(Not rated) Count			12%	7%	5%	5%	8%	12%	7%	7%	3%	7%	4%	14%	11%	7%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

** MENTIONED TOP 3 **

				WII	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER		AGE	
		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG		(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
TOP 3 APPROACH	More detox programs/ long-term treatment beds	80%	82%	81%	86%	79%	76%	76%	84%	73%	83%	84%
	More street outreach teams	72%	66%	70%	76%	78%	67%	68%	76%	68%	71%	76%
	Expand drug treatment	59%	55%	64%	60%	59%	58%	62%	57%	63%	63%	50%
	Safe consumption site	41%	38%	34%	36%	42%	55%	39%	43%	50%	35%	41%
	Tougher enforcement of drug laws	38%	46%	39%	35%	35%	35%	45%	32%	40%	40%	34%

SECTION I - ISSUES 7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches? ** MENTIONED TOP 3 **

				EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO	N <16 AT ME	HOU	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
		TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG		(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
TOP 3 APPROACH	More detox programs/ long-term treatment beds	80%	77%	78%	82%	82%	80%	79%	80%	82%	75%	81%	73%	83%	81%
	More street outreach teams	72%	64%	67%	75%	72%	71%	68%	73%	71%	68%	72%	63%	65%	73%
	Expand drug treatment court	59%	59%	70%	56%	56%	60%	63%	58%	61%	53%	60%	56%	61%	60%
	Safe consumption site	41%	36%	36%	44%	41%	41%	39%	40%	44%	53%	40%	41%	42%	41%
	Tougher enforcement of drug laws	38%	52%	40%	34%	42%	37%	40%	40%	36%	40%	37%	44%	37%	37%

SECTION I - ISSUES 7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches? ** MENTIONED TOP 3 **

				PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VC	TED LAST PI	ROV ELECTION	ON	NDI	VOTER TYP	PΕ	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
		TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG		(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
TOP 3 APPROACH	More detox programs/ long-term treatment beds	80%	75%	85%	83%	83%	78%	75%	86%	81%	91%	89%	79%	78%	73%	85%
	More street outreach teams	72%	70%	79%	71%	70%	70%	69%	77%	70%	77%	78%	76%	67%	66%	75%
	Expand drug treatment court	59%	62%	57%	57%	59%	63%	58%	52%	61%	58%	51%	55%	60%	63%	57%
	Safe consumption site	41%	17%	55%	49%	55%	35%	24%	58%	53%	56%	57%	46%	25%	26%	49%
	Tougher enforcement of drug laws	38%	64%	19%	32%	23%	39%	61%	19%	25%	9%	17%	38%	55%	62%	25%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

					WII	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG	Unweighted		(756)	(136)	(147)	(131)	(195)	(147)	(376)	(376)	(237)	(266)	(253)
			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
WINNIPEG	Northwest	Cases	143	143					61	80	33	77	32
REGION			19%	100%					17%	21%	15%	25%	14%
	Core	Cases	143					143	69	73	64	50	30
			19%					100%	19%	19%	29%	16%	13%
	Southwest	Cases	193				193		96	95	56	75	62
			26%				100%		26%	25%	25%	24%	28%
	Southeast	Cases	125			125			54	71	25	42	57
			17%			100%			15%	18%	12%	14%	25%
	Northeast	Cases	152		152				86	66	42	66	45
			20%		100%				24%	17%	19%	21%	20%
GENDER	Male	Count	367	61	86	54	96	69	367		109	156	101
			48%	43%	57%	43%	50%	48%	100%		50%	51%	44%
	Female	Count	386	80	66	71	95	73		386	109	151	126
			51%	56%	43%	57%	49%	51%		100%	49%	49%	56%
	Other	Count	4	1			2	1			2	2	
			1%	1%			1%	1%			1%	1%	

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPEG	Unweighted		(756)	(106)	(160)	(483)	(174)	(574)	(163)	(248)	(244)	(99)	(632)	(96)	(48)	(610)
			(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
WINNIPEG	Northwest	Cases	143	18	32	93	49	92	23	46	49	11	129	22	11	109
REGION			19%	17%	19%	19%	26%	16%	15%	19%	19%	12%	20%	24%	24%	18%
	Core	Cases	143	27	36	78	29	111	45	46	41	40	97	18	8	116
			19%	25%	22%	16%	16%	20%	29%	19%	16%	41%	15%	20%	18%	19%
	Southwest	Cases	193	21	33	137	43	148	38	58	69	17	169	26	15	152
			26%	20%	20%	29%	23%	26%	25%	24%	27%	17%	27%	29%	32%	25%
	Southeast	Cases	125	13	20	91	32	93	21	32	50	10	111	12	5	108
			17%	12%	12%	19%	17%	17%	14%	13%	20%	10%	18%	13%	11%	18%
	Northeast	Cases	152	27	43	79	36	115	26	63	44	19	125	13	7	130
			20%	26%	26%	16%	19%	21%	17%	26%	18%	20%	20%	14%	15%	21%
GENDER	Male	Count	367	65	75	223	87	275	79	130	118	51	299	48	25	293
			48%	62%	45%	47%	46%	49%	51%	53%	47%	52%	47%	53%	53%	48%
	Female	Count	386	40	89	252	101	280	74	113	134	46	329	43	22	318
			51%	38%	54%	53%	54%	50%	48%	46%	53%	47%	52%	47%	47%	52%
	Other	Count	4		2	2		4	1	2		1	3			4
			1%		1%	0%		1%	1%	1%		1%	0%			1%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

					PRO\	VOTE INTEN	NOITI		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	NC	NDI	VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG	Unweighted		(756)	(194)	(228)	(151)	(86)	(68)	(224)	(216)	(130)	(36)	(192)	(157)	(201)	(249)	(507)
			(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
WINNIPEG	Northwest	Cases	143	42	39	26	12	18	57	28	18	7	24	21	54	55	87
REGION			19%	19%	18%	19%	15%	27%	21%	14%	16%	17%	14%	13%	25%	21%	18%
	Core	Cases	143	19	53	18	29	13	20	55	20	17	49	32	19	35	108
			19%	9%	24%	13%	36%	20%	7%	28%	19%	43%	28%	20%	9%	13%	22%
	Southwest	Cases	193	57	44	56	16	13	68	44	34	8	38	36	67	73	120
			26%	26%	20%	40%	20%	20%	25%	22%	32%	21%	21%	22%	31%	27%	24%
	Southeast	Cases	125	48	33	21	14	10	51	32	23	3	31	27	41	45	80
			17%	21%	15%	15%	17%	14%	19%	16%	21%	9%	18%	16%	19%	17%	16%
	Northeast	Cases	152	56	51	18	9	13	76	39	13	4	35	47	38	58	95
			20%	25%	23%	13%	11%	19%	28%	20%	12%	11%	20%	29%	17%	22%	19%
GENDER	Male	Count	367	127	97	62	39	21	153	93	43	16	84	82	116	154	213
			48%	57%	44%	45%	49%	32%	56%	47%	40%	40%	47%	50%	53%	58%	43%
	Female	Count	386	95	123	76	38	46	118	106	64	21	94	78	102	113	273
			51%	43%	56%	55%	47%	68%	44%	53%	59%	52%	53%	48%	47%	42%	56%
	Other	Count	4			1	3				1	3		2	1		4
			1%			1%	4%				1%	8%		1%	0%		1%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Education, Children At Home

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
EDUCATION	Less than high	Count	11		3	1	3	4	8	2	5	1	4
	school		1%		2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%
	High school	Count	95	18	24	12	18	23	57	38	39	25	31
	graduate		12%	13%	16%	10%	9%	16%	15%	10%	18%	8%	13%
	Some college/	Count	165	32	43	20	33	36	75	89	57	58	50
	university		22%	22%	28%	16%	17%	25%	20%	23%	26%	19%	22%
	College/ University	Count	325	70	54	66	86	48	148	177	99	136	90
	graduate		43%	49%	36%	53%	45%	34%	40%	46%	45%	44%	40%
	Postgraduate	Count	152	23	24	25	51	30	75	76	18	85	50
	degree		20%	16%	16%	20%	26%	21%	20%	20%	8%	27%	22%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	8		3	1	2	2	4	4	1	5	2
			1%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	188	49	36	32	43	29	87	101	44	136	8
UNDER 16 AT HOME			25%	34%	23%	25%	22%	20%	24%	26%	20%	44%	3%
HOIVIE	No	Count	559	92	115	93	148	111	275	280	172	169	219
			74%	64%	76%	75%	77%	78%	75%	73%	78%	55%	96%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	9	2	2		3	2	4	4	4	4	1
			1%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%

SECTION DS Demographics - Education, Children At Home, Residence Ownership

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO	N <16 AT ME	ног	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	NOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	ŢUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPE	G		(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
EDUCATION	Less than high	Count	11	11				11	6	4		2	8	1		10
	school		1%	10%				2%	4%	2%		2%	1%	1%		2%
	High school	Count	95	95			18	75	31	41	13	22	69	11	3	80
	graduate		12%	90%			10%	13%	20%	17%	5%	23%	11%	12%	7%	13%
	Some college/	Count	165		165		35	130	47	54	44	28	130	16	15	133
	university		22%		100%		19%	23%	30%	22%	18%	28%	21%	17%	31%	22%
	College/ University	Count	325			325	82	239	60	97	120	32	284	47	21	258
	graduate		43%			68%	44%	43%	39%	40%	48%	32%	45%	51%	44%	42%
	Postgraduate	Count	152			152	52	99	8	48	75	14	135	17	8	128
	degree		20%			32%	28%	18%	5%	20%	30%	15%	21%	19%	17%	21%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	8					5	2	2			3		1	6
			1%					1%	1%	1%			1%		2%	1%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	188	18	35	135	188		17	57	100	24	158	26	13	149
UNDER 16 AT HOME			25%	17%	21%	28%	100%		11%	23%	40%	24%	25%	28%	29%	24%
HOIVIE	No	Count	559	86	130	338		559	137	186	153	74	467	64	34	460
			74%	82%	79%	71%		100%	88%	76%	60%	76%	74%	70%	71%	75%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	9	1		5			1	3			5	1		6
			1%	1%		1%			0%	1%			1%	1%		1%

SECTION DS Demographics - Education, Children At Home, Residence Ownership

					PRO\	/ VOTE INTEN	NTION		V	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	NC	ND	P VOTER TYP	PΕ	VIEWS OF	NBUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEC	3		(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
EDUCATION	Less than high	Count	11	3	2	1		3	4	1	1		1	4		7	4
	school		1%	1%	1%	1%		5%	1%	0%	1%		0%	3%		3%	1%
	High school	Count	95	31	24	12	7	8	35	17	11	6	16	22	23	35	59
	graduate		12%	14%	11%	9%	9%	12%	13%	9%	10%	15%	9%	13%	11%	13%	12%
	Some college/	Count	165	62	32	29	25	15	66	29	27	7	25	36	55	69	97
	university		22%	28%	15%	21%	32%	22%	24%	15%	25%	18%	14%	22%	25%	26%	20%
	College/ University	Count	325	89	95	72	28	30	113	89	53	17	79	71	96	117	208
	graduate		43%	40%	43%	52%	36%	45%	42%	45%	49%	42%	45%	44%	44%	44%	43%
	Postgraduate	Count	152	34	66	22	18	9	48	62	16	10	56	28	41	36	116
	degree		20%	15%	30%	16%	23%	14%	18%	31%	15%	25%	31%	18%	19%	14%	24%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	8	3	1	3		1	5	1			1	2	3	2	
			1%	1%	0%	2%		2%	2%	1%			1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	188	53	64	35	19	15	71	64	22	13	58	38	56	64	124
UNDER 16 AT			25%	24%	29%	25%	23%	22%	26%	33%	20%	33%	33%	23%	26%	24%	25%
HOME	No	Count	559	166	154	103	60	51	198	132	86	25	119	123	161	198	362
			74%	75%	70%	74%	75%	76%	73%	67%	80%	64%	67%	76%	74%	74%	74%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	9	3	2	1	1	1	3	1		1	1	2	1	4	4
			1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%		3%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

					WII	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	IDER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPE	G		(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
AGE	18 to 34	Count	220	33	42	25	56	64	109	109	220		
			29%	23%	27%	20%	29%	45%	30%	28%	100%		
	35 to 44	Count	114	23	28	20	30	14	64	50		114	
			15%	16%	18%	16%	15%	10%	17%	13%		37%	
	45 to 54	Count	195	54	38	22	45	35	92	100		195	
			26%	38%	25%	17%	23%	25%	25%	26%		63%	
	55 to 64	Count	50	9	8	16	11	6	17	33			50
			7%	6%	5%	13%	6%	4%	5%	9%			22%
	65 and older	Count	177	23	37	42	52	24	84	93		15	177
			23%	16%	24%	33%	27%	17%	23%	24%			78%
HOUSEHOLD	Less than	Count	70	5	12	8	21	23	41	27	34	15	21
INCOME	\$30,000		9%	4%	8%	7%	11%	16%	11%	7%	15%	5%	9%
	\$30,000 to	Count	85	18	14	13	17	22	38	47	37	21	26
	\$49,999		11%	13%	9%	10%	9%	16%	10%	12%	17%	7%	12%
	\$50,000 to	Count	145	29	31	21	33	31	68	77	53	38	54
	\$79,999		19%	21%	20%	17%	17%	21%	19%	20%	24%	12%	24%
	\$80,000 to	Count	100	17	32	11	25	16	62	36	31	40	29
	\$99,999		13%	12%	21%	8%	13%	11%	17%	9%	14%	13%	13%
	\$100,000 to	Count	155	28	35	25	38	30	75	79	33	88	33
	\$149,999		20%	19%	23%	20%	19%	21%	21%	21%	15%	29%	15%
	\$150,000 or	Count	98	21	9	25	32	11	43	55	16	65	18
	more		13%	15%	6%	20%	16%	8%	12%	14%	7%	21%	8%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	104	24	19	23	28	10	39	64	16	41	46
			14%	17%	12%	18%	15%	7%	11%	17%	7%	13%	20%

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE		шо	JSEHOLD INC	OME	INDICE	ENOUS	18.48.4	IIGRANT STA	THE
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No.	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE: WINNIPE	G		(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
AGE	18 to 34	Count	220	45	57	117	44	172	71	84	49	54	157	35	13	172
			29%	43%	34%	24%	23%	31%	46%	34%	19%	55%	25%	39%	27%	28%
	35 to 44	Count	114	10	14	89	68	44	14	33	54	16	94	9	2	103
			15%	10%	9%	19%	36%	8%	9%	13%	21%	16%	15%	10%	5%	17%
	45 to 54	Count	195	16	44	131	68	125	23	46	99	14	170	13	20	159
			26%	15%	27%	28%	36%	22%	15%	19%	39%	14%	27%	15%	41%	26%
	55 to 64	Count	50	6	4	40	4	47	3	16	21	5	45	7	2	41
			7%	6%	3%	8%	2%	8%	2%	7%	8%	5%	7%	7%	5%	7%
	65 and older	Count	177	29	46	100	4	172	44	67	30	9	164	27	10	140
			23%	28%	28%	21%	2%	31%	29%	27%	12%	9%	26%	29%	22%	23%
HOUSEHOLD	Less than	Count	70	21	21	26	5	65	70			13	54	18	3	49
INCOME	\$30,000		9%	20%	13%	5%	3%	12%	45%			13%	9%	19%	6%	8%
	\$30,000 to	Count	85	16	26	42	13	72	85			9	73	8	8	69
	\$49,999		11%	15%	16%	9%	7%	13%	55%			9%	12%	9%	16%	11%
	\$50,000 to	Count	145	32	32	81	30	114		145		27	116	22	10	113
	\$79,999		19%	31%	19%	17%	16%	20%		59%		27%	18%	24%	21%	18%
	\$80,000 to	Count	100	13	22	65	26	71		100		10	86	10	5	82
	\$99,999		13%	12%	13%	14%	14%	13%		41%		10%	14%	11%	11%	13%
	\$100,000 to	Count	155	9	32	114	60	94			155	26	127	15	5	135
	\$149,999		20%	9%	19%	24%	32%	17%			61%	26%	20%	16%	10%	22%
	\$150,000 or	Count	98	3	13	82	40	58			98	7	88	9	8	82
	more		13%	3%	8%	17%	21%	10%			39%	8%	14%	9%	16%	13%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	104	10	20	69	14	84				7	86	10	9	84
			14%	10%	12%	14%	7%	15%				7%	14%	11%	20%	14%

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	NTION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	NDI	P VOTER TY	PE	VIEWS OF	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEO	3		(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
AGE	18 to 34	Count	220	33	59	54	33	20	37	42	48	16	33	40	41	80	140
			29%	15%	27%	39%	42%	29%	14%	21%	44%	39%	19%	24%	19%	30%	29%
	35 to 44	Count	114	33	38	13	15	12	46	35	13	6	32	26	34	38	76
			15%	15%	17%	9%	19%	17%	17%	18%	12%	15%	18%	16%	16%	14%	16%
	45 to 54	Count	195	58	57	40	14	24	78	55	20	12	51	40	64	65	130
			26%	26%	26%	29%	17%	35%	29%	28%	19%	30%	29%	25%	29%	24%	27%
	55 to 64	Count	50	22	18	2	4	4	25	16	5	1	16	7	21	18	32
			7%	10%	8%	2%	5%	6%	9%	8%	5%	3%	9%	5%	10%	7%	6%
	65 and older	Count	177	76	48	30	14	8	86	50	22	5	46	49	58	65	112
			23%	34%	22%	22%	17%	12%	32%	25%	20%	13%	26%	30%	27%	24%	23%
HOUSEHOLD	Less than	Count	70	16	12	14	13	7	11	13	13	4	12	13	10	23	47
INCOME	\$30,000		9%	7%	5%	10%	17%	10%	4%	6%	12%	11%	7%	8%	5%	8%	10%
	\$30,000 to	Count	85	20	28	15	10	8	23	20	21	5	17	17	28	26	59
	\$49,999		11%	9%	13%	11%	13%	12%	9%	10%	19%	13%	9%	10%	13%	10%	12%
	\$50,000 to	Count	145	40	45	27	18	9	50	43	16	9	38	27	41	51	94
	\$79,999		19%	18%	20%	19%	22%	13%	18%	22%	15%	22%	21%	17%	19%	19%	19%
	\$80,000 to	Count	100	33	31	16	7	10	37	26	11	7	25	28	19	37	63
	\$99,999		13%	15%	14%	11%	8%	15%	14%	13%	10%	19%	14%	17%	9%	14%	13%
	\$100,000 to	Count	155	38	55	33	17	8	62	47	21	9	42	44	45	52	102
	\$149,999		20%	17%	25%	23%	21%	12%	23%	24%	19%	22%	23%	27%	21%	20%	21%
	\$150,000 or	Count	98	38	31	19	4	5	44	26	17	2	24	17	42	43	54
	more		13%	17%	14%	13%	5%	8%	16%	13%	15%	5%	14%	10%	19%	16%	11%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	104	36	19	16	11	21	43	24	10	4	20	17	33	34	70
			14%	16%	9%	11%	14%	31%	16%	12%	9%	9%	11%	10%	15%	13%	14%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER		AGE	
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(143)	(152)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(386)	(220)	(309)	(227)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	Cases	98	11	19	10	17	40	51	46	54	30	14
INDIGENOUS			13%	8%	13%	8%	9%	28%	14%	12%	25%	10%	6%
	First Nations	Cases	38		6	2	3	28	24	15	31	6	1
			5%		4%	1%	2%	19%	6%	4%	14%	2%	1%
	Métis	Cases	52	11	12	9	10	10	22	29	20	22	10
			7%	8%	8%	7%	5%	7%	6%	8%	9%	7%	4%
	Inuit	Cases	2				1	1	2		2		
			0%				1%	1%	1%		1%		
	Multiple	Cases	5		1		2	2	3	3		3	2
			1%		1%		1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%
	No/ Not indigenous	Cases	631	129	125	111	169	97	299	329	157	264	210
			83%	91%	82%	88%	88%	68%	82%	85%	72%	85%	92%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	28	2	9	4	8	5	17	11	8	16	4
			4%	1%	6%	3%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	2%
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	662	120	137	113	167	125	318	340	185	284	194
			88%	84%	90%	90%	87%	87%	87%	88%	84%	92%	85%
	No	Count	91	22	13	12	26	18	48	43	35	22	33
			12%	16%	8%	10%	13%	13%	13%	11%	16%	7%	15%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	3		3					3		3	
			0%		2%					1%		1%	
BASE: WINNIPEG: B	ORN IN CANADA		(662)	(120)	(137)	(113)	(167)	(125)	(318)	(340)	(185)	(284)	(194)
PARENTS BORN	Both	Count	514	92	104	90	133	96	248	265	147	217	150
IN CANADA			78%	76%	76%	79%	79%	77%	78%	78%	80%	76%	78%
	One	Count	93	16	22	18	17	19	43	47	22	40	30
			14%	13%	16%	16%	10%	15%	13%	14%	12%	14%	16%
	Neither	Count	47	11	7	5	15	8	25	22	13	22	13
			7%	9%	5%	5%	9%	7%	8%	6%	7%	8%	7%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	8	1	3		2	1	3	6	3	5	1
			1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

							CHILDRE									
			TOTAL		EDUCATION			ME		JSEHOLD INCO			ENOUS		MIGRANT STA	
BASE: WINNIPEG			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No (550)	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
	(1)-1) \/50	0	(756)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
IDENTIFY AS INDIGENOUS	(Net) YES	Cases	98	24	28	46	24	74	22	36	33	98		1	2	95
INDIGENOUS			13%	23%	17%	10%	13%	13%	14%	15%	13%	100%		1%	4%	16%
	First Nations	Cases	38	13	13	12	8	31	10	16	13	38		1		38
			5%	12%	8%	3%	4%	5%	6%	6%	5%	39%		1%		6%
	Métis	Cases	52	8	14	30	16	36	9	18	20	52			2	50
			7%	8%	9%	6%	8%	6%	6%	7%	8%	53%			4%	8%
	Inuit	Cases	2	1		1		2	1	1		2				2
			0%	1%		0%		0%	1%	1%		3%				0%
	Multiple	Cases	5	2		3		5	2	1	1	5				5
			1%	2%		1%		1%	1%	1%	0%	5%				1%
	No/ Not indigenous	Cases	631	78	130	420	158	467	128	202	215		631	88	43	500
			83%	74%	79%	88%	84%	83%	83%	82%	85%		100%	96%	91%	81%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	28	3	8	12	6	18	5	7	4			3	2	20
			4%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%			3%	5%	3%
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	662	94	148	414	162	494	128	211	230	97	543		47	615
			88%	89%	90%	87%	86%	88%	83%	86%	91%	99%	86%		100%	100%
	No	Count	91	12	16	63	26	64	26	32	23	1	88	91		
			12%	11%	10%	13%	14%	11%	17%	13%	9%	1%	14%	100%		
	(Refused/NS)	Count	3		2			2		3						
			0%		1%			0%		1%						
BASE: WINNIPEG: B	ORN IN CANADA		(662)	(94)	(148)	(414)	(162)	(494)	(128)	(211)	(230)	(97)	(543)		(47)	(615)
PARENTS BORN	Both	Count	514	81	103	327	123	390	96	165	187	89	411			514
IN CANADA			78%	86%	69%	79%	76%	79%	75%	78%	82%	92%	76%			84%
	One	Count	93	9	29	55	26	67	21	30	30	6	84	İ		93
			14%	10%	19%	13%	16%	13%	17%	14%	13%	7%	16%			15%
	Neither	Count	47	3	15	29	13	34	10	15	12	2	43		47	1
			7%	3%	10%	7%	8%	7%	8%	7%	5%	2%	8%		100%	1
	(Refused/NS)	Count	8	1	2	4		4	1				5	İ		8
	[' '		1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	0%				1%	1	1	1%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

					PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		V	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	NC	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE: WINNIPEG			(756)	(222)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(271)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(162)	(218)	(267)	(490)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	Cases	98	21	24	17	14	9	27	26	19	11	23	32	26	34	64
INDIGENOUS			13%	10%	11%	12%	18%	13%	10%	13%	18%	28%	13%	19%	12%	13%	13%
	First Nations	Cases	38	8	8	6	5	4	10	10	8	6	8	18	6	18	20
			5%	4%	3%	5%	7%	7%	4%	5%	8%	16%	5%	11%	3%	7%	4%
	Métis	Cases	52	13	15	10	9	3	16	14	11	3	13	10	19	14	38
			7%	6%	7%	7%	12%	5%	6%	7%	10%	9%	8%	6%	9%	5%	8%
	Inuit	Cases	2														2
			0%														1%
	Multiple	Cases	5		2	1		1	2	2		1	2	3	1	2	4
			1%		1%	1%		2%	1%	1%		3%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%
	No/ Not indigenous	Cases	631	188	191	118	62	57	228	169	88	26	153	125	180	217	414
			83%	85%	87%	85%	79%	85%	84%	85%	81%	66%	86%	77%	83%	81%	85%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	28	12	4	3	3	1	16	3	1	2	1	6	12	16	12
			4%	6%	2%	2%	3%	2%	6%	1%	1%	6%	1%	4%	6%	6%	2%
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	662	191	195	119	71	61	245	178	96	38	160	146	198	228	434
			88%	86%	89%	86%	90%	92%	90%	90%	89%	95%	90%	90%	91%	85%	89%
	No	Count	91	28	24	20	8	6	24	20	11	2	18	13	20	36	55
			12%	13%	11%	14%	10%	8%	9%	10%	11%	5%	10%	8%	9%	13%	11%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	3	3					3					3		3	
			0%	1%					1%					2%		1%	
BASE: WINNIPEG: E	BORN IN CANADA		(662)	(191)	(195)	(119)	(71)	(61)	(245)	(178)	(96)	(38)	(160)	(146)	(198)	(228)	(434)
PARENTS BORN	Both	Count	514	146	159	93	49	47	195	135	73	29	120	117	153	175	339
IN CANADA			78%	77%	82%	79%	68%	76%	79%	76%	76%	78%	75%	80%	77%	77%	78%
	One	Count	93	33	24	12	16	6	35	26	14	7	25	23	28	37	56
			14%	17%	12%	10%	22%	10%	14%	14%	14%	18%	16%	16%	14%	16%	13%
	Neither	Count	47	9	11	12	6	8	13	15	8	1	13	6	13	12	36
			7%	5%	5%	10%	8%	13%	5%	8%	9%	3%	8%	4%	7%	5%	8%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	8	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	1		1	1	3	4	5
			1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	0%	2%	2%	1%

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

				WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER		AGE	
		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE		(748)	(143)	(149)	(124)	(192)	(141)	(363)	(381)	(219)	(304)	(225)
EDUCATION	Less than high school	1%		2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%
	High school graduate	13%	13%	16%	10%	9%	16%	16%	10%	18%	8%	14%
	Some college/ university	22%	22%	29%	16%	17%	26%	21%	23%	26%	19%	22%
	College/ University graduate	43%	49%	36%	53%	45%	34%	41%	46%	45%	45%	40%
	Postgraduate degree	20%	16%	16%	20%	27%	21%	21%	20%	8%	28%	22%
BASE		(747)	(141)	(151)	(125)	(190)	(140)	(362)	(381)	(216)	(305)	(227)
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	25%	35%	24%	25%	22%	21%	24%	27%	20%	45%	3%
16 AT HOME	No	75%	65%	76%	75%	78%	79%	76%	73%	80%	55%	97%
BASE		(652)	(118)	(134)	(103)	(165)	(133)	(328)	(321)	(203)	(268)	(181)
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	11%	4%	9%	8%	13%	17%	13%	8%	17%	6%	12%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,999	13%	15%	11%	13%	10%	17%	12%	15%	18%	8%	15%
	\$50,000 to \$79,999		25%	23%	21%	20%	23%	21%	24%	26%	14%	30%
	\$80,000 to \$99,999	15%	14%	24%	10%	15%	12%	19%	11%	15%	15%	16%
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	24%	23%	26%	24%	23%	23%	23%	25%	16%	33%	18%
	\$150,000 or more	15%	18%	7%	24%	19%	8%	13%	17%	8%	24%	10%
BASE		(728)	(141)	(144)	(121)	(186)	(138)	(350)	(375)	(211)	(294)	(223)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	13%	8%	13%	8%	9%	29%	14%	12%	26%	10%	6%
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	5%		4%	1%	2%	20%	7%	4%	15%	2%	1%
	Métis	7%	8%	8%	7%	5%	7%	6%	8%	10%	7%	4%
	Inuit	0%				1%	1%	1%		1%		
	Multiple	1%		1%		1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%
	No/ Not indigenous	87%	92%	87%	92%	91%	71%	86%	88%	74%	90%	94%
BASE		(753)	(143)	(149)	(125)	(193)	(143)	(367)	(383)	(220)	(306)	(227)
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	88%	84%	91%	90%	87%	87%	87%	89%	84%	93%	85%
	No	12%	16%	9%	10%	13%	13%	13%	11%	16%	7%	15%
BASE		(654)	(119)	(133)	(113)	(165)	(124)	(315)	(334)	(182)	(279)	(193)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	79%	77%	78%	79%	80%	78%	79%	79%	81%	78%	78%
CANADA	One	14%	13%	17%	16%	10%	15%	13%	14%	12%	14%	16%
	Neither	7%	10%	5%	5%	9%	7%	8%	7%	7%	8%	7%

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

				EDUCATION		CHILDRE	N <16 AT	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	NOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
		TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE		(748)	(105)	(165)	(477)	(188)	(554)	(153)	(244)	(253)	(98)	(627)	(91)	(46)	(609)
EDUCATION	Less than high school	1%	10%	, ,	Ì	,	2%	4%	2%	<u> </u>	2%	1%	1%		2%
	High school graduate	13%	90%			10%	14%	20%	17%	5%	23%	11%	12%	7%	13%
	Some college/ university	22%		100%		19%	24%	31%	22%	18%	28%	21%	17%	32%	22%
	College/ University graduate	43%			68%	44%	43%	39%	40%	48%	32%	45%	51%	45%	42%
	Postgraduate degree	20%			32%	28%	18%	5%	20%	30%	15%	22%	19%	17%	21%
BASE		(747)	(105)	(165)	(472)	(188)	(559)	(154)	(242)	(253)	(98)	(625)	(90)	(47)	(609)
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	25%	18%	21%	28%	100%		11%	23%	40%	24%	25%	29%	29%	24%
16 AT HOME	No	75%	82%	79%	72%		100%	89%	77%	60%	76%	75%	71%	71%	76%
BASE		(652)	(95)	(145)	(409)	(174)	(475)	(154)	(245)	(253)	(91)	(544)	(81)	(38)	(531)
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	11%	22%	15%	6%	3%	14%	45%			14%	10%	22%	7%	9%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,999	13%	17%	18%	10%	7%	15%	55%			10%	13%	11%	20%	13%
	\$50,000 to \$79,999	22%	34%	22%	20%	18%	24%		59%		29%	21%	27%	26%	21%
	\$80,000 to \$99,999	15%	13%	15%	16%	15%	15%		41%		10%	16%	12%	14%	16%
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	24%	10%	22%	28%	35%	20%			61%	28%	23%	18%	13%	26%
	\$150,000 or more	15%	4%	9%	20%	23%	12%			39%	8%	16%	11%	20%	15%
BASE	•	(728)	(102)	(158)	(465)	(182)	(541)	(149)	(238)	(248)	(98)	(631)	(88)	(45)	(595)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	13%	24%	18%	10%	13%	14%	15%	15%	13%	100%		1%	4%	16%
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	5%	13%	9%	3%	4%	6%	7%	7%	5%	39%		1%		6%
	Métis	7%	8%	9%	6%	9%	7%	6%	8%	8%	53%			4%	8%
	Inuit	0%	1%		0%		0%	1%	1%		3%				0%
	Multiple	1%	2%		1%		1%	1%	1%	0%	5%				1%
	No/ Not indigenous	87%	76%	82%	90%	87%	86%	85%	85%	87%		100%	99%	96%	84%
BASE		(753)	(105)	(164)	(477)	(188)	(558)	(154)	(242)	(253)	(98)	(631)	(91)	(47)	(615)
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	88%	89%	90%	87%	86%	89%	83%	87%	91%	99%	86%		100%	100%
	No	12%	11%	10%	13%	14%	11%	17%	13%	9%	1%	14%	100%		
BASE		(654)	(93)	(146)	(410)	(162)	(490)	(128)	(211)	(230)	(97)	(538)		(47)	(607)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	79%	87%	70%	80%	76%	80%	75%	78%	82%	92%	76%			85%
CANADA	One	14%	10%	20%	13%	16%	14%	17%	14%	13%	7%	16%			15%
	Neither	7%	3%	10%	7%	8%	7%	8%	7%	5%	2%	8%		100%	

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

				PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		V	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS OI	N BUDGET
		TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE		(748)	(219)	(219)	(136)	(79)	(66)	(267)	(197)	(108)	(40)	(176)	(161)	(215)	(264)	(484)
EDUCATION	Less than high school	1%	1%	1%	1%		5%	1%	0%	1%		0%	3%		3%	1%
	High school graduate	13%	14%	11%	9%	9%	13%	13%	9%	10%	15%	9%	14%	11%	13%	12%
	Some college/ university	22%	28%	15%	21%	32%	22%	25%	15%	25%	18%	14%	22%	25%	26%	20%
	College/ University graduate	43%	41%	43%	53%	36%	46%	43%	45%	49%	42%	45%	44%	45%	44%	43%
	Postgraduate degree	20%	16%	30%	17%	23%	14%	18%	31%	15%	25%	32%	18%	19%	14%	24%
BASE		(747)	(219)	(218)	(138)	(78)	(66)	(268)	(197)	(108)	(38)	(177)	(161)	(217)	(262)	(485)
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	25%	24%	29%	25%	24%	22%	26%	33%	20%	34%	33%	23%	26%	24%	26%
16 AT HOME	No	75%	76%	71%	75%	76%	78%	74%	67%	80%	66%	67%	77%	74%	76%	74%
BASE		(652)	(186)	(201)	(123)	(69)	(46)	(228)	(174)	(98)	(36)	(158)	(145)	(185)	(233)	(420)
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	11%	9%	6%	11%	19%	14%	5%	7%	13%	12%	8%	9%	6%	10%	11%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,999	13%	11%	14%	12%	15%	17%	10%	11%	21%	14%	11%	12%	15%	11%	14%
	\$50,000 to \$79,999	22%	22%	22%	22%	26%	19%	22%	25%	17%	24%	24%	19%	22%	22%	22%
	\$80,000 to \$99,999	15%	18%	15%	13%	10%	21%	16%	15%	11%	21%	16%	19%	10%	16%	15%
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	24%	21%	27%	27%	24%	17%	27%	27%	21%	24%	26%	30%	25%	23%	24%
	\$150,000 or more	15%	20%	15%	15%	6%	11%	19%	15%	17%	6%	15%	12%	23%	19%	13%
BASE		(728)	(209)	(215)	(136)	(77)	(66)	(255)	(195)	(107)	(37)	(176)	(157)	(206)	(251)	(478)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	13%	10%	11%	13%	19%	13%	11%	13%	18%	30%	13%	20%	13%	14%	13%
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	5%	4%	4%	5%	7%	7%	4%	5%	8%	17%	5%	12%	3%	7%	4%
	Métis	7%	6%	7%	7%	12%	5%	6%	7%	10%	9%	8%	7%	9%	6%	8%
	Inuit	0%														1%
	Multiple	1%		1%	1%		2%	1%	1%		3%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%
	No/ Not indigenous	87%	90%	89%	87%	81%	87%	89%	87%	82%	70%	87%	80%	87%	86%	87%
BASE		(753)	(219)	(220)	(139)	(79)	(67)	(268)	(198)	(108)	(40)	(177)	(159)	(218)	(264)	(490)
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	88%	87%	89%	86%	90%	92%	91%	90%	89%	95%	90%	92%	91%	86%	89%
	No	12%	13%	11%	14%	10%	8%	9%	10%	11%	5%	10%	8%	9%	14%	11%
BASE		(654)	(189)	(194)	(117)	(70)	(61)	(243)	(176)	(95)	(38)	(159)	(146)	(195)	(224)	(430)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	79%	78%	82%	80%	69%	77%	80%	77%	77%	78%	76%	80%	79%	78%	79%
CANADA	One	14%	17%	12%	10%	22%	10%	14%	15%	15%	18%	16%	16%	15%	17%	13%
	Neither	7%	5%	6%	10%	9%	13%	5%	8%	9%	3%	8%	4%	7%	5%	8%