SECTION I - ISSUES

1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
LIQUOR	Strongly	Count	276	163	113	145	130	93	110	73	46	63	37	48	46	36
SALES	support		23%	23%	24%	25%	21%	25%	24%	20%	25%	27%	22%	25%	21%	18%
	Somewhat	Count	292	176	117	145	147	109	92	91	58	48	39	51	44	52
	support		24%	24%	24%	25%	24%	29%	20%	25%	32%	21%	23%	26%	20%	26%
	Somewhat	Count	186	112	74	89	95	55	74	56	25	37	28	30	37	28
	oppose		15%	16%	15%	15%	16%	15%	16%	15%	13%	16%	17%	16%	16%	14%
	Strongly	Count	363	220	143	174	187	84	145	133	42	74	59	41	72	75
	oppose		30%	31%	30%	30%	31%	22%	32%	36%	23%	32%	35%	21%	32%	37%
	(DK/NS)	Count	83	49	34	28	55	35	35	14	13	10	5	22	24	9
			7%	7%	7%	5%	9%	9%	8%	4%	7%	5%	3%	12%	11%	4%
VEHICLE	Strongly	Count	203	110	94	107	96	90	56	57	44	34	29	46	22	28
INSURANCE	support		17%	15%	20%	18%	16%	24%	12%	16%	24%	15%	18%	24%	10%	14%
	Somewhat	Count	217	112	106	103	115	92	78	47	47	34	22	46	43	26
	support		18%	16%	22%	18%	19%	24%	17%	13%	26%	15%	13%	24%	19%	13%
	Somewhat	Count	176	113	63	87	89	50	70	57	21	41	24	28	28	33
	oppose		15%	16%	13%	15%	14%	13%	15%	16%	12%	18%	15%	15%	13%	16%
	Strongly	Count	544	345	199	267	274	115	230	199	62	114	91	52	114	108
	oppose		45%	48%	42%	46%	45%	30%	50%	54%	34%	49%	55%	27%	51%	54%
	(DK/NS)	Count	59	41	18	18	40	30	23	6	10	8	0	19	15	6
			5%	6%	4%	3%	7%	8%	5%	2%	5%	3%	0%	10%	7%	3%
CASINO	Strongly	Count	142	94	48	80	61	53	54	35	26	36	17	27	16	18
GAMBLING	support		12%	13%	10%	14%	10%	14%	12%	10%	14%	16%	10%	14%	7%	9%
	Somewhat	Count	237	140	98	110	127	89	81	67	37	40	33	52	41	34
	support		20%	19%	20%	19%	21%	24%	18%	18%	20%	17%	20%	27%	19%	17%
	Somewhat	Count	228	123	105	120	107	63	90	75	41	43	36	22	46	39
	oppose		19%	17%	22%	21%	17%	17%	20%	20%	22%	19%	22%	12%	21%	20%
	Strongly	Count	450	277	173	221	226	109	180	160	56	95	70	52	84	90
	oppose		37%	38%	36%	38%	37%	29%	40%	44%	30%	41%	42%	27%	38%	45%
	(DK/NS)	Count	143	86	57	50	92	63	51	29	24	16	10	38	35	19
			12%	12%	12%	9%	15%	17%	11%	8%	13%	7%	6%	20%	16%	9%
ELECTRICITY	Strongly	Count	153	80	73	83	70	74	39	39	40	25	19	35	14	21
& NATURAL	support		13%	11%	15%	14%	11%	20%	9%	11%	22%	11%	11%	18%	6%	10%
GAS SERVICE	Somewhat	Count	175	93	81	86	88	79	52	43	40	26	21	40	26	22
	support		15%	13%	17%	15%	14%	21%	11%	12%	22%	11%	12%	21%	12%	11%
	Somewhat	Count	156	92	64	72	83	64	53	39	25	26	21	38	27	18
	oppose		13%	13%	13%	12%	14%	17%	12%	11%	14%	11%	12%	20%	12%	9%
	Strongly	Count	655	415	241	323	329	129	287	240	69	149	105	59	135	135
	oppose		55%	58%	50%	56%	54%	34%	63%	65%	38%	65%	63%	31%	61%	68%
	(DK/NS)	Count	61	41	21	18	44	30	26	6	10	6	2	20	20	4
	1		5%	6%	4%	3%	7%	8%	6%	2%	5%	2%	1%	11%	9%	2%

SECTION I - ISSUES

1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?

					EDUCATION			N <16 AT ME	НОІ	USEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
LIQUOR	Strongly	Count	276	46	73	152	89	184	37	114	96	36	223	34	13	227
SALES	support		23%	22%	29%	21%	28%	21%	16%	28%	25%	25%	22%	25%	22%	23%
	Somewhat	Count	292	66	55	170	68	224	53	102	100	43	241	27	16	249
	support		24%	32%	22%	24%	21%	26%	22%	25%	26%	29%	24%	21%	26%	25%
	Somewhat	Count	186	33	41	109	55	123	48	56	51	16	159	24	11	151
	oppose		15%	16%	16%	15%	17%	14%	20%	14%	13%	11%	16%	18%	18%	15%
	Strongly	Count	363	48	68	237	89	267	73	110	121	40	307	39	18	306
	oppose		30%	23%	27%	33%	28%	31%	31%	27%	32%	27%	31%	29%	30%	30%
	(DK/NS)	Count	83	15	19	47	16	65	25	23	15	12	69	9	3	72
	` ′		7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	8%	11%	6%	4%	8%	7%	7%	4%	7%
VEHICLE	Strongly	Count	203	58	41	101	61	137	38	80	52	35	157	29	9	165
INSURANCE	support		17%	28%	16%	14%	19%	16%	16%	20%	14%	24%	16%	22%	15%	16%
	Somewhat	Count	217	49	49	117	60	153	40	72	77	32	180	26	7	184
	support		18%	23%	19%	16%	19%	18%	17%	18%	20%	21%	18%	20%	12%	18%
	Somewhat	Count	176	21	50	105	43	132	39	51	63	15	152	20	8	149
	oppose		15%	10%	19%	15%	13%	15%	16%	13%	16%	10%	15%	15%	14%	15%
	Strongly	Count	544	68	103	361	139	396	98	188	182	59	460	52	34	456
	oppose		45%	33%	40%	51%	44%	46%	41%	46%	47%	40%	46%	39%	57%	45%
	(DK/NS)	Count	59	13	14	31	14	44	22	14	10	7	50	6	1	52
			5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	9%	3%	3%	5%	5%	5%	2%	5%
CASINO	Strongly	Count	142	30	34	76	37	100	18	54	52	24	105	21	7	114
GAMBLING	support		12%	15%	13%	11%	12%	12%	7%	13%	14%	16%	10%	16%	12%	11%
	Somewhat	Count	237	44	60	131	64	173	43	82	86	36	192	19	9	209
	support		20%	21%	24%	18%	20%	20%	18%	20%	22%	24%	19%	14%	16%	21%
	Somewhat	Count	228	40	45	140	67	157	56	75	60	23	194	26	18	183
	oppose		19%	19%	17%	20%	21%	18%	23%	18%	16%	16%	19%	20%	31%	18%
	Strongly	Count	450	66	80	292	119	322	84	149	160	44	389	45	16	385
	oppose		37%	32%	31%	41%	38%	37%	35%	37%	42%	30%	39%	34%	27%	38%
	(DK/NS)	Count	143	27	37	76	31	110	37	47	26	21	119	22	8	113
			12%	13%	15%	11%	10%	13%	16%	12%	7%	14%	12%	16%	14%	11%
ELECTRICITY	Strongly	Count	153	44	36	69	47	102	27	68	43	29	118	24	4	124
& NATURAL	support		13%	21%	14%	10%	15%	12%	11%	17%	11%	20%	12%	18%	7%	12%
GAS SERVICE	Somewhat	Count	175	42	48	80	41	131	37	54	50	28	142	23	12	140
	support		15%	20%	19%	11%	13%	15%	16%	13%	13%	19%	14%	17%	20%	14%
	Somewhat	Count	156	27	35	94	45	106	38	43	56	16	132	15	9	132
	oppose		13%	13%	14%	13%	14%	12%	16%	11%	15%	11%	13%	11%	15%	13%
	Strongly	Count	655	78	126	440	166	480	117	224	222	66	555	65	34	554
	oppose		55%	38%	49%	61%	52%	56%	50%	55%	58%	45%	56%	49%	58%	55%
	(DK/NS)	Count	61	17	11	32	17	43	17	17	13	9	53	6	1	55
			5%	8%	4%	5%	5%	5%	7%	4%	3%	6%	5%	4%	1%	5%

SECTION I - ISSUES

1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?

					PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TY	PE	VIEWS OF	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
LIQUOR	Strongly	Count	276	150	37	41	20	16	163	28	26	10	25	63	129	167	109
SALES	support		23%	36%	12%	22%	18%	13%	33%	11%	18%	21%	11%	26%	33%	36%	15%
	Somewhat	Count	292	113	54	43	33	35	136	47	31	10	44	62	100	114	178
	support		24%	27%	18%	23%	30%	29%	27%	19%	22%	20%	19%	26%	26%	25%	24%
	Somewhat	Count	186	64	45	32	20	23	71	37	24	10	32	31	54	71	115
	oppose		15%	15%	15%	17%	18%	19%	14%	15%	17%	21%	14%	13%	14%	15%	16%
	Strongly	Count	363	71	161	63	32	29	102	132	52	16	122	76	80	80	283
	oppose		30%	17%	52%	33%	29%	24%	20%	52%	37%	34%	53%	31%	21%	17%	38%
	(DK/NS)	Count	83	24	11	11	5	17	28	10	9	2	6	8	25	28	55
			7%	6%	3%	6%	5%	14%	6%	4%	6%	5%	2%	3%	7%	6%	7%
VEHICLE	Strongly	Count	203	102	24	31	15	16	103	21	23	9	20	40	85	109	95
INSURANCE	support		17%	24%	8%	16%	14%	14%	21%	8%	16%	19%	9%	17%	22%	24%	13%
	Somewhat	Count	217	93	44	27	21	23	116	24	21	7	21	43	87	114	104
	support		18%	22%	14%	14%	19%	19%	23%	9%	15%	15%	9%	18%	22%	25%	14%
	Somewhat	Count	176	80	31	20	16	24	88	17	21	10	11	33	76	93	83
	oppose		15%	19%	10%	10%	15%	20%	18%	7%	15%	21%	5%	14%	19%	20%	11%
	Strongly	Count	544	133	204	106	49	42	177	187	69	21	173	118	128	127	417
	oppose		45%	32%	66%	56%	45%	35%	36%	74%	49%	43%	76%	49%	33%	28%	56%
	(DK/NS)	Count	59	14	5	6	8	14	15	6	8	1	3	7	13	17	42
			5%	3%	2%	3%	7%	12%	3%	2%	6%	3%	1%	3%	3%	4%	6%
CASINO	Strongly	Count	142	60	32	26	10	8	70	25	14	7	23	38	49	80	62
GAMBLING	support		12%	14%	10%	14%	9%	7%	14%	10%	10%	15%	10%	16%	13%	17%	8%
	Somewhat	Count	237	92	49	39	28	21	107	45	31	8	41	44	91	102	135
	support		20%	22%	16%	20%	26%	18%	21%	18%	22%	16%	18%	18%	23%	22%	18%
	Somewhat	Count	228	97	42	35	20	22	116	40	24	10	35	43	84	88	140
	oppose		19%	23%	14%	19%	18%	18%	23%	16%	17%	20%	15%	18%	22%	19%	19%
	Strongly oppose	Count	450	124	167	72	40	38	158	129	58	21	120	103	118	133	317
		0 1	37%	29%	54%	38%	37%	31%	32%	51%	41%	43%	52%	43%	30%	29%	43%
	(DK/NS)	Count	143	48	17	18	12	30	49	16	15	2	11	12	47	56	88
EL EGEDIOITY	101		12%	11%	5%	10%	11%	25%	10%	6%	11%	5%	5%	5%	12%	12%	12%
ELECTRICITY & NATURAL	Strongly support	Count	153	62	24	30	13	11	67	14	23	9	13	34	56	81	72
GAS SERVICE		0	13%	15%	8%	16%	12%	10%	13%	6%	16%	19%	6%	14%	14%	18%	10%
	Somewhat support	Count	175	95	15	19	20	18	102	18	20	4	17	29	85	102	73 10%
		0	15%	22%	5%	10%	18%	15%	20%	7%	14%	8%	7%	12%	22%	22%	
	Somewhat oppose	Count	156	65	32	22	15	15	77	20	19	6	16	26	65	81	75 10%
		Count	13%	15%	10%	12%	13%	13%	15%	8%	13%	13%	7%	11%	17%	18%	
	Strongly oppose	Count	655	182	233	113	57	58	237	199	76	27	180	149	163	175	481
		Count	55%	43%	76%	60%	52%	49%	47%	78%	53%	57%	79%	62%	42%	38%	65%
	(DK/NS)	Count	61 5%	17 4%	4	5 3%	5 5%	16 14%	17 3%	5 2%	3%	1	3 1%	1%	19 5%	22 5%	40 5%
PROBE RESEA					1%] 3%	5%	14%] 3%	2%] 3%	3%	1%	1%	5%	5%	5%

SECTION I - ISSUES
1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?
** SUMMARY TABLE **

			PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
		TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE		(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
LIQUOR SALES	Support	47%	47%	48%	50%	45%	54%	44%	45%	57%	48%	45%	51%	40%	44%
	Oppose	46%	46%	45%	45%	46%	37%	48%	52%	37%	48%	52%	37%	49%	52%
	(DK)	7%	7%	7%	5%	9%	9%	8%	4%	7%	5%	3%	12%	11%	4%
VEHICLE	Support	35%	31%	42%	36%	34%	48%	29%	28%	49%	29%	31%	48%	30%	27%
INSURANCE	Oppose	60%	64%	55%	61%	59%	44%	66%	70%	45%	67%	69%	42%	64%	70%
	(DK)	5%	6%	4%	3%	7%	8%	5%	2%	5%	3%	0%	10%	7%	3%
CASINO	Support	32%	33%	30%	33%	31%	38%	30%	28%	34%	33%	30%	41%	26%	26%
GAMBLING	Oppose	56%	56%	58%	59%	54%	46%	59%	64%	53%	60%	64%	39%	58%	65%
	(DK)	12%	12%	12%	9%	15%	17%	11%	8%	13%	7%	6%	20%	16%	9%
ELECTRICITY &	Support	27%	24%	32%	29%	26%	41%	20%	22%	43%	22%	24%	39%	18%	21%
NATURAL GAS	Oppose	68%	70%	64%	68%	67%	51%	74%	76%	52%	76%	75%	50%	73%	77%
SERVICE	(DK)	5%	6%	4%	3%	7%	8%	6%	2%	5%	2%	1%	11%	9%	2%

SECTION I - ISSUES 1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
		TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE		(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
LIQUOR SALES	Support	47%	54%	50%	45%	49%	47%	38%	53%	51%	54%	46%	46%	48%	47%
	Oppose	46%	39%	43%	48%	46%	45%	51%	41%	45%	38%	47%	48%	48%	45%
	(DK)	7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	8%	11%	6%	4%	8%	7%	7%	4%	7%
VEHICLE	Support	35%	51%	35%	30%	38%	34%	33%	38%	34%	45%	34%	42%	28%	35%
INSURANCE	Oppose	60%	43%	60%	65%	57%	61%	58%	59%	64%	50%	61%	54%	70%	60%
	(DK)	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	9%	3%	3%	5%	5%	5%	2%	5%
CASINO	Support	32%	36%	37%	29%	32%	32%	26%	33%	36%	40%	30%	30%	28%	32%
GAMBLING	Oppose	56%	51%	48%	60%	59%	56%	59%	55%	57%	45%	58%	54%	58%	57%
	(DK)	12%	13%	15%	11%	10%	13%	16%	12%	7%	14%	12%	16%	14%	11%
ELECTRICITY &	Support	27%	42%	33%	21%	28%	27%	27%	30%	24%	39%	26%	36%	27%	26%
NATURAL GAS	Oppose	68%	50%	63%	75%	67%	68%	66%	66%	72%	56%	69%	60%	72%	68%
SERVICE	(DK)	5%	8%	4%	5%	5%	5%	7%	4%	3%	6%	5%	4%	1%	5%

SECTION I - ISSUES

1. How much do you support privatizing each of the following services in Manitoba?

** SUMMARY TABLE **

				PRO\	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VC	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	Έ	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
		TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE		(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
LIQUOR SALES	Support	47%	62%	30%	44%	48%	42%	60%	30%	40%	40%	30%	52%	59%	61%	39%
	Oppose	46%	32%	67%	50%	47%	44%	35%	66%	54%	55%	67%	44%	34%	33%	54%
	(DK)	7%	6%	3%	6%	5%	14%	6%	4%	6%	5%	2%	3%	7%	6%	7%
VEHICLE	Support	35%	46%	22%	31%	33%	33%	44%	18%	31%	34%	18%	34%	44%	48%	27%
INSURANCE	Oppose	60%	50%	76%	66%	60%	55%	53%	80%	63%	64%	81%	63%	52%	48%	67%
	(DK)	5%	3%	2%	3%	7%	12%	3%	2%	6%	3%	1%	3%	3%	4%	6%
CASINO	Support	32%	36%	26%	34%	35%	25%	35%	28%	31%	32%	28%	34%	36%	40%	27%
GAMBLING	Oppose	56%	53%	68%	57%	54%	50%	55%	66%	58%	63%	67%	61%	52%	48%	62%
	(DK)	12%	11%	5%	10%	11%	25%	10%	6%	11%	5%	5%	5%	12%	12%	12%
ELECTRICITY &	Support	27%	37%	13%	26%	30%	25%	34%	13%	30%	27%	13%	26%	36%	40%	20%
NATURAL GAS	Oppose	68%	59%	86%	71%	65%	62%	63%	86%	67%	70%	86%	73%	59%	56%	75%
SERVICE	(DK)	5%	4%	1%	3%	5%	14%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	5%	5%	5%

SECTION I - ISSUES
2. In general, how much do you support amalgamating school divisions across Manitoba?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	314	214	101	183	130	66	123	126	35	78	70	31	43	55
SCHOOL DIVISIONS	support		26%	30%	21%	31%	21%	17%	27%	34%	19%	34%	42%	16%	19%	28%
ACROSS MANITOBA	Somewhat	Count	425	276	150	207	218	148	154	123	82	72	53	66	82	70
WANTODA	support		35%	38%	31%	36%	36%	39%	34%	34%	44%	31%	32%	35%	37%	35%
	Somewhat	Count	248	135	113	101	146	104	83	62	46	30	25	57	52	37
	oppose		21%	19%	24%	17%	24%	27%	18%	17%	25%	13%	15%	30%	24%	18%
	Strongly	Count	213	96	117	91	120	60	96	57	21	51	19	37	45	38
	oppose		18%	13%	24%	16%	20%	16%	21%	15%	12%	22%	11%	20%	20%	19%
Summary	Support	•	62%	68%	52%	67%	57%	57%	61%	68%	64%	65%	74%	51%	56%	63%
	Oppose		38%	32%	48%	33%	43%	43%	39%	32%	36%	35%	26%	49%	44%	37%

SECTION I - ISSUES
2. In general, how much do you support amalgamating school divisions across Manitoba?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	DME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	314	51	75	185	70	239	39	104	123	44	248	32	16	266
SCHOOL DIVISIONS	support		26%	25%	29%	26%	22%	28%	16%	26%	32%	30%	25%	24%	26%	26%
ACROSS MANITOBA	Somewhat	Count	425	69	100	252	112	309	85	140	141	51	364	45	34	345
IVIANTIODA	support		35%	33%	39%	35%	35%	36%	36%	34%	37%	35%	36%	34%	57%	34%
	Somewhat	Count	248	48	47	142	63	177	58	91	63	36	207	28	6	214
	oppose		21%	23%	18%	20%	20%	21%	24%	22%	17%	24%	21%	21%	11%	21%
	Strongly	Count	213	40	35	136	72	137	55	71	56	16	180	29	3	180
	oppose		18%	19%	13%	19%	23%	16%	23%	18%	15%	11%	18%	22%	6%	18%
Summary	Support		62%	58%	68%	61%	57%	64%	53%	60%	69%	65%	61%	57%	84%	61%
	Oppose		38%	42%	32%	39%	43%	36%	47%	40%	31%	35%	39%	43%	16%	39%

SECTION I - ISSUES
2. In general, how much do you support amalgamating school divisions across Manitoba?

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	NTION		VC	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	N	ND	P VOTER TYP	PΕ	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	314	180	28	49	28	20	198	31	29	17	26	85	152	201	114
SCHOOL DIVISIONS	support		26%	43%	9%	26%	25%	17%	40%	12%	20%	35%	12%	35%	39%	44%	15%
ACROSS MANITOBA	Somewhat	Count	425	127	117	76	43	42	159	104	52	16	94	85	113	135	290
WANTOBA	support		35%	30%	38%	40%	40%	35%	32%	41%	36%	33%	41%	35%	29%	29%	39%
	Somewhat	Count	248	56	89	35	22	35	70	68	35	7	62	38	62	72	176
	oppose		21%	13%	29%	18%	20%	29%	14%	27%	25%	14%	27%	16%	16%	16%	24%
	Strongly	Count	213	59	73	30	16	23	73	52	26	9	46	33	62	52	161
	oppose		18%	14%	24%	16%	15%	19%	15%	20%	18%	18%	20%	14%	16%	11%	22%
Summary	Support	•	62%	73%	47%	66%	65%	52%	71%	53%	57%	68%	53%	70%	68%	73%	55%
	Oppose		38%	27%	53%	34%	35%	48%	29%	47%	43%	32%	47%	30%	32%	27%	45%

SECTION I - ISSUES
3. How much do you support amalgamating your own school division with one or more nearby ones?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	289	195	94	165	122	67	114	109	36	68	61	31	44	47
LOCAL SCHOOL DIVISION	support		24%	27%	20%	28%	20%	18%	25%	30%	19%	29%	37%	16%	20%	24%
	Somewhat	Count	413	263	150	215	198	156	138	119	85	75	55	71	63	63
	support		34%	37%	31%	37%	32%	41%	30%	32%	46%	32%	33%	37%	28%	32%
	Somewhat	Count	219	138	82	95	124	85	78	56	38	33	23	46	44	33
	oppose		18%	19%	17%	16%	20%	23%	17%	15%	21%	14%	14%	24%	20%	17%
	Strongly	Count	279	125	154	107	171	69	127	83	25	55	27	43	72	56
	oppose		23%	17%	32%	18%	28%	18%	28%	23%	14%	24%	16%	23%	32%	28%
Summary	Support		58%	64%	51%	65%	52%	59%	55%	62%	66%	62%	70%	53%	48%	55%
	Oppose		42%	36%	49%	35%	48%	41%	45%	38%	34%	38%	30%	47%	52%	45%

SECTION I - ISSUES
3. How much do you support amalgamating your own school division with one or more nearby ones?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	DME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	289	46	73	167	60	223	40	94	109	39	228	32	17	239
LOCAL SCHOOL DIVISION	support		24%	22%	28%	23%	19%	26%	17%	23%	29%	26%	23%	24%	29%	24%
	Somewhat	Count	413	78	93	231	108	294	83	140	127	55	342	42	29	340
	support		34%	38%	36%	32%	34%	34%	35%	34%	33%	37%	34%	32%	49%	34%
	Somewhat	Count	219	40	44	133	59	159	53	81	57	30	184	22	8	189
	oppose		18%	19%	17%	19%	19%	19%	22%	20%	15%	21%	18%	17%	14%	19%
	Strongly	Count	279	44	47	184	90	185	61	92	90	23	245	37	5	237
	oppose		23%	21%	18%	26%	29%	21%	26%	23%	23%	16%	24%	28%	8%	24%
Summary	Support		58%	60%	65%	56%	53%	60%	52%	58%	62%	64%	57%	55%	78%	58%
	Oppose		42%	40%	35%	44%	47%	40%	48%	42%	38%	36%	43%	45%	22%	42%

SECTION I - ISSUES
3. How much do you support amalgamating your own school division with one or more nearby ones?

					PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		VO	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
AMALGAMATING	Strongly	Count	289	162	29	46	24	19	179	31	28	16	26	73	140	180	109
LOCAL SCHOOL DIVISION	support		24%	38%	9%	24%	22%	16%	36%	12%	20%	33%	11%	30%	36%	39%	15%
	Somewhat	Count	413	137	98	69	48	38	167	85	51	13	76	88	116	152	261
	support		34%	32%	32%	36%	44%	32%	33%	33%	36%	27%	33%	36%	30%	33%	35%
	Somewhat	Count	219	45	72	40	17	33	53	60	34	9	55	29	54	53	167
	oppose		18%	11%	23%	21%	16%	27%	11%	24%	24%	20%	24%	12%	14%	11%	23%
	Strongly	Count	279	78	109	35	20	30	101	79	28	10	72	51	79	75	204
	oppose		23%	18%	35%	18%	18%	25%	20%	31%	20%	20%	31%	21%	20%	16%	28%
Summary	Support		58%	71%	41%	61%	66%	47%	69%	45%	56%	60%	45%	67%	66%	72%	50%
	Oppose		42%	29%	59%	39%	34%	53%	31%	55%	44%	40%	55%	33%	34%	28%	50%

SECTION I - ISSUES
5. Addiction to methamphetamines has become a serious concern in Manitoba in the past few years. How would you rate the provincial PC performance in dealing with this issue?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
GOV	Excellent	Count	47	32	15	25	22	30	12	5	19	3	3	11	9	2
DEALING			4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	8%	3%	1%	10%	1%	2%	6%	4%	1%
WITH METH	Good	Count	193	100	92	110	83	48	69	75	25	42	42	23	27	34
ISSUE			16%	14%	19%	19%	14%	13%	15%	21%	14%	18%	25%	12%	12%	17%
	Fair	Count	324	181	143	165	159	108	116	100	61	60	45	47	56	55
			27%	25%	30%	28%	26%	29%	25%	27%	33%	26%	27%	25%	25%	28%
	Poor	Count	636	407	229	282	350	190	260	186	78	126	78	110	131	109
			53%	57%	48%	49%	57%	51%	57%	51%	43%	55%	46%	58%	59%	54%
Summary	Exc/Good		20%	18%	22%	23%	17%	21%	18%	22%	24%	19%	27%	18%	16%	18%
	Fair/Poor		80%	82%	78%	77%	83%	79%	82%	78%	76%	81%	73%	82%	84%	82%

SECTION I - ISSUES
5. Addiction to methamphetamines has become a serious concern in Manitoba in the past few years. How would you rate the provincial PC performance in dealing with this issue?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO)ME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
GOV	Excellent	Count	47	10	14	19	13	31	3	20	19	26	16	5	2	37
DEALING			4%	5%	6%	3%	4%	4%	1%	5%	5%	17%	2%	4%	4%	4%
WITH METH	Good	Count	193	33	43	115	45	145	39	68	58	16	163	35	12	146
ISSUE			16%	16%	17%	16%	14%	17%	16%	17%	15%	11%	16%	26%	20%	15%
	Fair	Count	324	72	76	171	93	227	66	105	94	37	276	28	15	281
			27%	35%	30%	24%	29%	26%	28%	26%	25%	25%	28%	21%	26%	28%
	Poor	Count	636	92	124	410	167	458	129	213	212	69	544	65	30	541
			53%	44%	48%	57%	53%	53%	55%	53%	55%	47%	54%	49%	50%	54%
Summary	Exc/Good	•	20%	21%	22%	19%	18%	21%	18%	22%	20%	28%	18%	30%	24%	18%
	Fair/Poor		80%	79%	78%	81%	82%	79%	82%	78%	80%	72%	82%	70%	76%	82%

SECTION I - ISSUES
5. Addiction to methamphetamines has become a serious concern in Manitoba in the past few years. How would you rate the provincial PC performance in dealing with this issue?

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VC	TED LAST PE	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS ON	BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
GOV	Excellent	Count	47	19	4	10	4	3	23	7	3	8	7	21	13	30	17
DEALING			4%	4%	1%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	16%	3%	9%	3%	7%	2%
WITH METH	Good	Count	193	135	14	14	6	10	131	16	14	2	14	33	103	143	50
ISSUE			16%	32%	4%	7%	6%	8%	26%	6%	10%	4%	6%	14%	27%	31%	7%
.5552	Fair	Count	324	182	43	39	21	29	184	32	30	4	28	55	146	177	146
			27%	43%	14%	21%	19%	24%	37%	12%	21%	9%	12%	23%	38%	39%	20%
	Poor	Count	636	87	247	127	79	78	161	200	95	34	179	131	127	109	527
			53%	21%	80%	67%	72%	65%	32%	79%	67%	71%	78%	55%	33%	24%	71%
Summary	Exc/Good		20%	36%	6%	12%	9%	11%	31%	9%	12%	21%	9%	23%	30%	38%	9%
	Fair/Poor		80%	64%	94%	88%	91%	89%	69%	91%	88%	79%	91%	77%	70%	62%	91%

SECTION I - ISSUES
6. In your view, would the NDP or the Liberals do a better, or worse, job dealing with the meth crisis than the provincial PC have done so far?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
M-NDP	Much better	Count	205	145	60	93	110	73	85	47	41	36	16	32	47	31
			17%	20%	12%	16%	18%	19%	19%	13%	23%	15%	10%	17%	21%	16%
	A little	Count	326	200	126	153	172	125	105	97	58	51	44	65	54	53
	better		27%	28%	26%	26%	28%	33%	23%	26%	31%	22%	26%	34%	24%	26%
	A little	Count	140	78	62	79	61	44	48	48	24	26	29	20	22	18
	worse		12%	11%	13%	14%	10%	12%	11%	13%	13%	11%	18%	11%	10%	9%
	Much worse	Count	189	93	96	115	75	28	91	70	15	61	39	13	30	31
			16%	13%	20%	20%	12%	7%	20%	19%	8%	26%	24%	7%	14%	16%
	(DK/NS)	Count	339	202	136	142	197	107	128	104	46	58	38	61	70	66
			28%	28%	28%	24%	32%	28%	28%	28%	25%	25%	23%	32%	31%	33%
Summary	Better		44%	48%	39%	42%	46%	52%	41%	39%	54%	37%	36%	51%	45%	42%
	Worse		27%	24%	33%	33%	22%	19%	31%	32%	21%	38%	41%	18%	23%	25%
	(DK)		28%	28%	28%	24%	32%	28%	28%	28%	25%	25%	23%	32%	31%	33%
M-LIB	Much better	Count	125	91	34	53	70	52	45	28	24	16	13	28	27	15
			10%	13%	7%	9%	11%	14%	10%	8%	13%	7%	8%	15%	12%	8%
	A little	Count	364	241	123	176	186	130	133	101	70	60	46	58	73	55
	better		30%	33%	26%	30%	30%	34%	29%	28%	38%	26%	28%	30%	33%	28%
	A little	Count	160	84	76	99	61	46	58	56	26	36	36	19	21	20
	worse		13%	12%	16%	17%	10%	12%	13%	15%	14%	16%	22%	10%	10%	10%
	Much worse	Count	160	83	77	89	71	31	75	54	11	48	30	20	27	24
			13%	12%	16%	15%	12%	8%	16%	15%	6%	21%	18%	10%	12%	12%
	(DK/NS)	Count	391	221	170	165	227	118	146	127	52	71	42	66	75	85
			33%	31%	36%	28%	37%	31%	32%	35%	28%	31%	25%	35%	34%	43%
Summary	Better		41%	46%	33%	39%	42%	48%	39%	35%	51%	33%	35%	45%	45%	35%
	Worse		27%	23%	32%	32%	21%	20%	29%	30%	20%	36%	40%	20%	22%	22%
	(DK)		33%	31%	36%	28%	37%	31%	32%	35%	28%	31%	25%	35%	34%	43%

SECTION I - ISSUES
6. In your view, would the NDP or the Liberals do a better, or worse, job dealing with the meth crisis than the provincial PC have done so far?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
M-NDP	Much better	Count	205	29	43	133	61	144	37	74	81	35	164	21	10	174
			17%	14%	17%	19%	19%	17%	16%	18%	21%	24%	16%	16%	18%	17%
	A little	Count	326	52	50	218	81	240	55	124	104	51	267	48	14	264
	better		27%	25%	19%	30%	25%	28%	23%	31%	27%	34%	27%	36%	24%	26%
	A little	Count	140	29	31	80	34	105	28	42	51	17	121	18	7	115
	worse		12%	14%	12%	11%	11%	12%	12%	10%	13%	11%	12%	14%	11%	11%
	Much worse	Count	189	36	45	104	59	125	41	55	65	12	155	19	7	161
			16%	17%	18%	15%	19%	15%	17%	14%	17%	8%	16%	14%	12%	16%
	(DK/NS)	Count	339	62	88	182	83	247	75	111	83	33	291	27	21	291
			28%	30%	34%	25%	26%	29%	32%	27%	22%	23%	29%	20%	35%	29%
Summar	Better		44%	39%	36%	49%	45%	45%	39%	49%	48%	58%	43%	52%	42%	44%
У	Worse		27%	31%	30%	26%	29%	27%	29%	24%	30%	19%	28%	28%	23%	27%
	(DK)		28%	30%	34%	25%	26%	29%	32%	27%	22%	23%	29%	20%	35%	29%
M-LIB	Much better	Count	125	20	23	82	31	94	19	49	49	27	95	16	5	104
			10%	10%	9%	11%	10%	11%	8%	12%	13%	18%	10%	12%	9%	10%
	A little	Count	364	60	65	236	96	266	73	128	119	56	298	51	15	298
	better		30%	29%	25%	33%	30%	31%	31%	31%	31%	38%	30%	38%	26%	30%
	A little	Count	160	28	29	98	37	117	29	47	55	12	143	12	8	140
	worse		13%	14%	11%	14%	12%	14%	12%	12%	14%	8%	14%	9%	14%	14%
	Much worse	Count	160	30	46	79	53	105	32	50	56	14	126	20	8	128
			13%	14%	18%	11%	17%	12%	14%	12%	14%	9%	13%	15%	14%	13%
	(DK/NS)	Count	391	69	93	220	100	280	82	132	105	38	336	34	22	335
			33%	33%	36%	31%	32%	32%	35%	32%	27%	26%	34%	25%	38%	33%
Summar	Better		41%	39%	34%	44%	40%	42%	39%	43%	44%	57%	39%	51%	34%	40%
У	Worse	•	27%	28%	30%	25%	28%	26%	26%	24%	29%	18%	27%	24%	28%	27%
	(DK)		33%	33%	36%	31%	32%	32%	35%	32%	27%	26%	34%	25%	38%	33%

SECTION I - ISSUES
6. In your view, would the NDP or the Liberals do a better, or worse, job dealing with the meth crisis than the provincial PC have done so far?

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	NTION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE .	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
M-NDP	Much better	Count	205	6	134	37	13	10	27	118	22	11	110	43	12	18	187
			17%	1%	44%	20%	12%	8%	5%	46%	15%	23%	48%	18%	3%	4%	25%
	A little better	Count	326	27	131	83	45	25	82	92	61	21	84	78	60	72	254
			27%	6%	42%	44%	41%	21%	16%	36%	43%	44%	37%	32%	15%	16%	34%
	A little	Count	140	99	5	11	13	5	92	8	11	2	8	23	76	86	54
	worse		12%	23%	2%	6%	12%	5%	18%	3%	7%	4%	3%	10%	19%	19%	7%
	Much worse	Count	189	166	3	6	4	3	162	2	6	1	2	25	142	152	38
			16%	39%	1%	3%	3%	3%	33%	1%	4%	3%	1%	11%	37%	33%	5%
	(DK/NS)	Count	339	124	35	52	35	76	136	36	43	13	26	71	99	132	207
			28%	29%	11%	28%	32%	64%	27%	14%	30%	26%	11%	29%	25%	29%	28%
Summary	Better		44%	8%	86%	64%	53%	29%	22%	82%	58%	67%	85%	50%	18%	20%	60%
	Worse		27%	63%	3%	9%	15%	7%	51%	4%	12%	7%	4%	20%	56%	52%	12%
	(DK)		28%	29%	11%	28%	32%	64%	27%	14%	30%	26%	11%	29%	25%	29%	28%
M-LIB	Much better	Count	125	3	41	57	11	6	11	47	31	13	46	29	17	17	109
			10%	1%	13%	30%	10%	5%	2%	19%	22%	26%	20%	12%	4%	4%	15%
	A little better	Count	364	27	174	73	52	22	80	134	61	24	123	81	61	74	290
			30%	6%	56%	38%	48%	18%	16%	53%	43%	49%	54%	34%	16%	16%	39%
	A little	Count	160	116	22	6	7	7	113	10	7	1	8	26	90	92	67
	worse		13%	28%	7%	3%	6%	6%	23%	4%	5%	2%	4%	11%	23%	20%	9%
	Much worse	Count	160	134	7	2	6	4	129	6	4		5	18	113	126	34
			13%	32%	2%	1%	5%	3%	26%	2%	3%		2%	8%	29%	27%	5%
	(DK/NS)	Count	391	141	64	52	34	80	167	57	39	11	46	86	109	150	241
			33%	34%	21%	27%	31%	67%	33%	23%	27%	23%	20%	36%	28%	33%	33%
Summary	Better		41%	7%	70%	68%	57%	24%	18%	71%	65%	75%	74%	46%	20%	20%	54%
	Worse		27%	59%	9%	4%	11%	9%	48%	6%	8%	2%	6%	18%	52%	48%	14%
	(DK)		33%	34%	21%	27%	31%	67%	33%	23%	27%	23%	20%	36%	28%	33%	33%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

				PROVINCIA	L REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
More detox	#1	Count	389	239	150	151	237	108	151	130	52	55	44	56	94	86
programs/			32%	33%	31%	26%	39%	29%	33%	35%	29%	24%	26%	29%	42%	43%
long-term	#2	Count	337	205	132	185	152	94	139	103	55	79	51	40	60	52
treatment beds			28%	28%	27%	32%	25%	25%	31%	28%	30%	34%	31%	21%	27%	26%
beds	#3	Count	237	135	102	115	121	81	90	66	23	54	38	57	36	28
			20%	19%	21%	20%	20%	21%	20%	18%	13%	23%	23%	30%	16%	14%
	#4	Count	145	85	60	82	62	63	50	33	36	29	18	26	21	15
			12%	12%	13%	14%	10%	17%	11%	9%	20%	12%	11%	14%	10%	7%
	#5	Count	37	25	12	20	17	20	12	5	11	6	3	9	6	2
			3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	3%	1%	6%	3%	2%	5%	3%	1%
	(Not rated)	Count	55	30	24	29	26	10	14	30	7	9	14	4	6	16
	, ,		5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	3%	8%	4%	4%	8%	2%	3%	8%
Tougher	#1	Count	297	167	129	178	119	83	129	85	42	84	51	40	45	34
enforcement			25%	23%	27%	31%	19%	22%	28%	23%	23%	37%	31%	21%	20%	17%
of drug laws	#2	Count	90	44	46	42	48	30	36	24	15	17	10	15	19	14
			8%	6%	10%	7%	8%	8%	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%	8%	8%	7%
	#3	Count	102	63	40	51	51	40	37	25	18	21	11	22	15	14
			9%	9%	8%	9%	8%	11%	8%	7%	10%	9%	7%	11%	7%	7%
	#4	Count	174	91	83	84	90	56	65	53	23	32	29	33	33	24
			14%	13%	17%	14%	15%	15%	14%	15%	13%	14%	17%	17%	15%	12%
	#5	Count	459	299	160	191	265	153	170	135	77	68	46	74	101	89
			38%	42%	33%	33%	43%	41%	37%	37%	42%	29%	28%	39%	45%	45%
	(Not rated)	Count	78	56	22	36	41	15	19	44	8	9	19	7	10	25
	(1101.14104)		7%	8%	5%	6%	7%	4%	4%	12%	4%	4%	12%	4%	4%	12%
Expand drug	#1	Count	202	112	90	103	97	86	55	61	38	35	30	47	19	31
treatment	" '		17%	16%	19%	18%	16%	23%	12%	17%	21%	15%	18%	24%	9%	16%
court	#2	Count	299	165	134	138	161	106	119	74	49	55	33	56	64	41
	"-		25%	23%	28%	24%	26%	28%	26%	20%	27%	24%	20%	29%	29%	21%
	#3	Count	246	149	97	124	122	58	117	71	29	60	35	30	57	36
	,,,		20%	21%	20%	21%	20%	15%	26%	19%	16%	26%	21%	15%	25%	18%
	#4	Count	303	198	105	139	163	81	128	95	44	62	34	37	65	61
			25%	28%	22%	24%	27%	21%	28%	26%	24%	27%	20%	19%	29%	31%
	#5	Count	69	44	25	35	34	30	17	21	13	8	14	17	9	8
			6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	8%	4%	6%	7%	4%	8%	9%	4%	4%
	(Not rated)	Count	81	52	29	44	36	16	21	44	11	11	21	5	9	22
	(**************************************		7%	7%	6%	7%	6%	4%	5%	12%	6%	5%	13%	3%	4%	11%
More street	#1	Count	182	113	68	90	91	49	83	50	24	41	25	25	42	24
outreach			15%	16%	14%	15%	15%	13%	18%	14%	13%	18%	15%	13%	19%	12%
teams	#2	Count	313	197	115	149	162	84	109	119	36	57	56	48	51	63
	"-		26%	27%	24%	26%	26%	22%	24%	32%	19%	25%	34%	25%	23%	31%
	#3	Count	356	206	150	156	200	120	137	99	61	59	36	59	78	63
	" "	Joann	30%	29%	31%	27%	33%	32%	30%	27%	33%	25%	21%	31%	35%	32%
	#4	Count	223	124	99	119	104	81	90	53	33 /8	55	24	41	33 76	29
	["'	Count	19%	17%	21%	20%	17%	21%	20%	14%	21%	24%	14%	21%	15%	14%
	#5	Count	19%	31	21%	30	24	33	12	9	18	24%	7	15	7	14%
	#3	Journ	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	9%	3%	2%	10%	2%	4%	8%	3%	1%
	(Not rated)	Count	72	4%	24	38	34		25	38	10%	13	19	4	11	
	(NOL Taled)	Count	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%	10 3%	5%	10%	3%	6%	12%	2%	5%	18 9%
				on Survev (c		1 70	J 3%	3%	1 5%	10%	3%	0%	12%		1 5%] 9%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

				PROVINCIA	L REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
Safe	#1	Count	130	87	43	60	70	51	38	41	28	15	17	23	23	24
consumption			11%	12%	9%	10%	11%	14%	8%	11%	15%	7%	10%	12%	10%	12%
site	#2	Count	141	99	42	59	81	59	45	37	28	19	12	30	26	25
			12%	14%	9%	10%	13%	16%	10%	10%	15%	8%	7%	16%	12%	13%
	#3	Count	168	109	59	88	78	61	50	56	42	22	24	19	27	32
			14%	15%	12%	15%	13%	16%	11%	15%	23%	10%	15%	10%	12%	16%
	#4	Count	218	133	85	84	134	73	85	60	25	33	26	48	53	34
			18%	18%	18%	14%	22%	19%	19%	16%	14%	14%	16%	25%	24%	17%
	#5	Count	445	233	212	231	214	116	206	124	48	122	61	68	84	62
			37%	32%	44%	40%	35%	31%	45%	34%	26%	53%	37%	36%	37%	31%
	(Not rated)	Count	98	58	40	60	37	17	32	49	13	20	27	4	11	22
			8%	8%	8%	10%	6%	4%	7%	13%	7%	9%	16%	2%	5%	11%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					EDUCATION			EN <16 AT DME	НОІ	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
<u> </u>			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
More detox	#1	Count	389	72	74	239	110	276	66	137	143	38	335	32	16	342
programs/			32%	35%	29%	33%	35%	32%	28%	34%	37%	26%	34%	24%	27%	34%
long-term treatment	#2	Count	337	46	78	204	77	252	74	108	103	51	275	38	21	276
beds			28%	22%	30%	29%	24%	29%	31%	27%	27%	34%	28%	28%	35%	27%
beds	#3	Count	237	42	44	148	73	159	45	76	76	22	197	29	14	194
i			20%	20%	17%	21%	23%	18%	19%	19%	20%	15%	20%	22%	23%	19%
l	#4	Count	145	25	37	82	36	108	27	55	41	21	121	16	3	126
i			12%	12%	14%	11%	11%	12%	11%	13%	11%	14%	12%	12%	5%	13%
i	#5	Count	37	6	11	19	13	22	10	12	14	11	26	6	3	29
i			3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	7%	3%	4%	4%	3%
i	(Not rated)	Count	55	17	13	24	8	45	16	19	6	5	45	13	3	38
l	` ′		5%	8%	5%	3%	3%	5%	7%	5%	2%	4%	4%	10%	5%	4%
Tougher	#1	Count	297	62	74	156	88	204	65	93	89	34	250	35	20	242
enforcement			25%	30%	29%	22%	28%	24%	28%	23%	23%	23%	25%	26%	34%	24%
of drug laws	#2	Count	90	21	20	47	23	67	13	28	34	7	75	11	2	77
i	"-		8%	10%	8%	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	9%	5%	8%	8%	4%	8%
l	#3	Count	102	21	16	63	27	73	25	34	37	16	81	12	1	88
i	""		9%	10%	6%	9%	8%	9%	10%	8%	10%	11%	8%	9%	3%	9%
l	#4	Count	174	34	41	97	55	117	30	70	52	19	146	23	6	143
i	" '	Oddin	14%	16%	16%	14%	17%	14%	13%	17%	14%	13%	15%	17%	10%	14%
l	#5	Count	459	58	90	305	113	336	86	154	150	63	379	41	25	393
i	#5	Count	38%	28%	35%	43%	36%	39%	36%	38%	39%	43%	38%	30%	42%	39%
i	(Not rated)	Count	78	12	16	43%	12	65	18	27	21	43%	67	12	5	61
i	(Not rated)	Count	7%	6%	6%	7%	4%	7%	8%	7%	5%	5%	7%	9%	8%	6%
Expand drug	#1	Count	202	36	50	109	37	160	45	72	52	26	166	29	7	166
treatment	" '	Count	17%	18%	19%	15%	12%	19%	19%	18%	13%	17%	17%	22%	11%	17%
court	#2	Count	299	63	65	167	94	19%	60	107	94	25	256		1170	250
l	#2	Count	25%	30%	25%	23%	30%	23%	25%	26%	25%	17%	26%	35 27%	24%	25%
i	#3	Count	25%	30%	65	141	67	177	25%	74	90	29	209	15	12	25%
i	#5	Count	20%	18%	25%	20%	21%	20%	19%	18%	23%	20%	21%	12%	20%	217
i	#4	Count	303	44	45	20%	89	20%	60	92	115	43	249	29	20%	252
l	#4	Count		21%	18%	30%	28%	24%		23%	30%	29%			34%	
i	#5	Count	25%	14	14		+	47	25%		19	14	25%	22%	54%	25% 54
l	#5	Count	69			39	22		14	29			53	10		
l	(Not roted)	Count	6%	7% 13	6%	5% 49	7% 8	5%	6%	7%	5% 14	10%	5%	7%	9%	5% 65
l	(Not rated)	Count	81 7%	6%	17	7%		70	14	32 8%	4%	11	65	14		7%
Mana atmost	444	0			7%		3%	8%	6%			7%	6%	11%	2%	
More street outreach	#1	Count	182	23	32	124	50	128	32	67	57	29	141	20	11	148
teams	"0	0 1	15%	11%	12%	17%	16%	15%	13%	17%	15%	20%	14%	15%	18%	15%
1	#2	Count	313	52	62	197	82	225	59	97	110	35	267	33	13	267
l	110		26%	25%	24%	28%	26%	26%	25%	24%	29%	24%	27%	25%	22%	27%
l	#3	Count	356	57	88	201	92	257	71	131	105	44	296	32	16	308
l	<u></u>		30%	27%	34%	28%	29%	30%	30%	32%	27%	30%	30%	24%	27%	31%
l	#4	Count	223	47	53	120	62	158	49	62	78	25	190	25	11	187
i			19%	22%	21%	17%	20%	18%	21%	15%	20%	17%	19%	19%	19%	19%
l	#5	Count	54 5%	16	8	30	15 5%	40 5%	10 4%	23	17	8	45	9	1	44
				8%	3%	4%				6%	4%	5%	4%	7%	2%	

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HOI		HOL	JSEHOLD INCC)ME	INDIGEI	NOUS	IMM	IGRANT STAT	ΓUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
More street	(Not rated)	Count	72	14	13	44	16	55	15	26	17	7	60	14	7	51
outreach			6%	7%	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%	11%	11%	5%
Safe	#1	Count	130	14	28	88	33	94	29	37	43	21	105	18	5	107
consumption			11%	7%	11%	12%	10%	11%	12%	9%	11%	14%	11%	13%	9%	11%
site	#2	Count	141	21	27	91	37	103	26	57	41	27	111	11	9	122
			12%	10%	11%	13%	12%	12%	11%	14%	11%	18%	11%	8%	14%	12%
	#3	Count	168	34	26	108	45	121	32	58	59	26	138	26	10	131
			14%	16%	10%	15%	14%	14%	13%	14%	15%	18%	14%	20%	18%	13%
	#4	Count	218	31	53	127	52	159	42	79	66	25	180	18	11	189
			18%	15%	21%	18%	16%	18%	18%	19%	17%	17%	18%	14%	18%	19%
	#5	Count	445	85	106	246	132	308	88	139	154	39	383	46	18	379
			37%	41%	41%	34%	42%	36%	37%	34%	40%	26%	38%	34%	30%	38%
	(Not rated)	Count	98	23	17	55	18	77	21	35	20	10	81	15	6	77
			8%	11%	7%	8%	6%	9%	9%	9%	5%	7%	8%	11%	11%	8%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		V	OTED LAST P	ROV FLECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PF	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
More detox	#1	Count	389	114	133	58	38	37	157	98	49	18	89	82	114	123	267
programs/			32%	27%	43%	30%	34%	31%	31%	39%	35%	37%	39%	34%	29%	27%	36%
long-term	#2	Count	337	114	89	47	35	33	129	78	36	13	71	71	97	121	216
treatment beds			28%	27%	29%	25%	32%	28%	26%	31%	25%	27%	31%	29%	25%	26%	29%
bcds	#3	Count	237	93	45	44	22	23	101	40	31	10	38	38	92	109	127
			20%	22%	15%	23%	20%	19%	20%	16%	22%	20%	17%	16%	24%	24%	17%
	#4	Count	145	53	30	30	10	16	64	24	18	5	19	33	44	57	89
			12%	13%	10%	16%	9%	13%	13%	10%	12%	11%	8%	14%	11%	12%	12%
	#5	Count	37	15	5	4	2	4	16	7	5	1	6	11	9	18	19
			3%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%	2%	4%	3%
	(Not rated)	Count	55	33	4	7	4	6	34	7	4	2	7	5	32	32	23
			5%	8%	1%	4%	3%	5%	7%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	8%	7%	3%
Tougher	#1	Count	297	181	28	33	8	27	192	21	17	3	19	62	140	176	121
enforcement			25%	43%	9%	17%	8%	22%	38%	8%	12%	7%	8%	26%	36%	38%	16%
of drug laws	#2	Count	90	45	10	9	9	11	50	13	7	4	11	19	37	54	37
	1		8%	11%	3%	5%	8%	9%	10%	5%	5%	9%	5%	8%	10%	12%	5%
	#3	Count	102	48	24	16	4	6	58	18	9		14	15	44	44	58
			9%	11%	8%	8%	4%	5%	12%	7%	6%		6%	6%	11%	10%	8%
	#4	Count	174	69	37	26	13	23	82	22	23	4	21	40	56	75	99
			14%	16%	12%	14%	12%	19%	16%	9%	16%	8%	9%	17%	14%	16%	13%
	#5	Count	459	57	181	93	66	48	95	157	72	33	143	91	85	84	375
			38%	14%	59%	49%	60%	40%	19%	62%	51%	69%	63%	38%	22%	18%	51%
	(Not rated)	Count	78	22	28	12	8	6	23	24	14	4	20	14	26	27	52
			7%	5%	9%	6%	8%	5%	5%	9%	10%	8%	9%	6%	7%	6%	7%
Expand drug	#1	Count	202	63	43	37	32	19	73	37	27	14	31	37	67	76	126
treatment			17%	15%	14%	19%	29%	16%	15%	14%	19%	30%	13%	15%	17%	16%	17%
court	#2	Count	299	117	77	45	22	28	139	49	32	7	45	55	104	127	172
			25%	28%	25%	24%	20%	23%	28%	19%	23%	15%	19%	23%	27%	28%	23%
	#3	Count	246	93	60	32	16	32	107	53	27	7	49	51	79	86	160
			20%	22%	20%	17%	15%	27%	21%	21%	19%	14%	21%	21%	20%	19%	22%
	#4	Count	303	101	94	48	25	27	120	84	35	14	76	70	86	109	194
			25%	24%	31%	25%	23%	22%	24%	33%	25%	29%	33%	29%	22%	24%	26%
	#5	Count	69	18	12	19	9	6	27	11	11	4	10	16	22	27	42
			6%	4%	4%	10%	8%	5%	5%	4%	8%	9%	4%	7%	6%	6%	6%
	(Not rated)	Count	81	29	22	10	6	8	34	20	10	2	19	11	31	35	46
			7%	7%	7%	5%	6%	7%	7%	8%	7%	4%	8%	5%	8%	8%	6%
More street	#1	Count	182	53	57	29	15	21	58	54	20	6	48	32	44	54	127
outreach			15%	13%	18%	15%	13%	18%	12%	21%	14%	12%	21%	13%	11%	12%	17%
teams	#2	Count	313	114	75	60	28	31	132	63	47	16	54	64	114	118	194
	1		26%	27%	24%	32%	25%	26%	26%	25%	33%	32%	24%	27%	29%	26%	26%
	#3	Count	356	113	108	48	40	32	148	81	36	15	74	88	93	122	234
			30%	27%	35%	25%	37%	27%	30%	32%	26%	31%	33%	37%	24%	27%	32%
	#4	Count	223	90	45	34	18	17	100	33	25	9	30	37	84	100	123
			19%	21%	15%	18%	17%	15%	20%	13%	17%	18%	13%	16%	22%	22%	17%
	#5	Count	54	14	12	10	3	11	20	13	5		12	9	14	28	27
	1		5%	3%	4%	5%	3%	9%	4%	5%	4%		5%	4%	4%	6%	4%
	(Not rated)	Count	72	38	11	9	6	7	41	12	8	3	11	10	39	37	36
			6%	9%	3%	5%	5%	6%	8%	5%	6%	6%	5%	4%	10%	8%	5%
	_		400	40	47	0.4	47	40				_	40	- 00			99
Safe	#1	Count	130	10	47	34	17	16	20	45	29	7	42	28	23	31	99

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	TION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTIC	N	NDF	VOTER TYP	E	VIEWS ON	BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
Safe	#2	Count	141	23	54	25	15	14	38	51	18	8	48	30	26	29	112
consumption			12%	6%	17%	13%	14%	12%	8%	20%	13%	16%	21%	13%	7%	6%	15%
site	#3	Count	168	33	53	38	18	17	42	44	26	13	37	35	34	52	115
			14%	8%	17%	20%	16%	14%	8%	17%	18%	27%	16%	14%	9%	11%	16%
	#4	Count	218	49	69	35	34	26	69	60	26	13	55	41	56	54	164
			18%	12%	22%	19%	31%	21%	14%	24%	18%	27%	24%	17%	15%	12%	22%
	#5	Count	445	257	67	47	20	39	276	36	33	6	31	94	196	238	207
			37%	61%	22%	25%	18%	33%	55%	14%	23%	13%	13%	39%	50%	52%	28%
	(Not rated)	Count	98	50	19	10	7	7	55	18	10	2	17	12	53	54	44
			8%	12%	6%	5%	6%	6%	11%	7%	7%	3%	7%	5%	14%	12%	6%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

** MENTIONED TOP 3 **

			PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
		TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE		(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
TOP 3 APPROACH	More detox programs/ long-term treatment beds	80%	80%	80%	77%	83%	75%	83%	82%	71%	81%	80%	80%	85%	83%
	More street outreach teams	71%	72%	69%	68%	74%	67%	72%	73%	66%	68%	70%	69%	77%	75%
	Expand drug treatment court	62%	59%	67%	63%	62%	66%	64%	56%	63%	65%	59%	69%	63%	54%
	Tougher enforcement of drug laws	41%	38%	45%	46%	36%	41%	44%	37%	41%	53%	43%	41%	35%	31%
	Safe consumption site	37%	41%	30%	36%	37%	45%	29%	37%	53%	24%	32%	38%	34%	41%

SECTION I - ISSUES 7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches? ** MENTIONED TOP 3 **

				EDUCATION			N <16 AT	1101	ICELIOLD INCO	NAT.	INDICE	NOUC	18.48.4	ICDANIT CTA	TUC
		TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	HO Yes	No No	< \$50K	JSEHOLD INCO \$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	ENOUS No	1st Gen	IGRANT STA	Non
BASE		(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
TOP 3 APPROACH	More detox programs/ long-term treatment beds	80%	77%	76%	83%	82%	80%	78%	79%	84%	75%	81%	74%	85%	81%
	More street outreach teams	71%	63%	71%	73%	70%	71%	69%	73%	71%	73%	71%	64%	67%	72%
	Expand drug treatment court	62%	66%	70%	58%	63%	62%	63%	62%	61%	54%	63%	60%	55%	63%
	Tougher enforcement of drug laws	41%	50%	43%	37%	43%	40%	44%	38%	42%	39%	41%	43%	40%	40%
	Safe consumption site	37%	33%	31%	40%	36%	37%	37%	38%	37%	50%	35%	41%	41%	36%

SECTION I - ISSUES
7. Thinking now about ways the provincial government could combat the meth crisis, how would you rank the following possible approaches?

** MENTIONED TOP 3 **

				PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	N	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS ON	BUDGET
		TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE		(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
TOP 3 APPROACH	More detox programs/ long-term treatment beds	80%	76%	87%	78%	86%	78%	77%	85%	81%	84%	86%	80%	78%	77%	82%
	More street outreach teams	71%	66%	78%	72%	75%	70%	68%	77%	73%	76%	77%	77%	65%	64%	75%
	Expand drug treatment court	62%	65%	59%	59%	64%	66%	64%	55%	61%	59%	54%	60%	64%	63%	62%
	Tougher enforcement of drug laws	41%	65%	20%	31%	20%	36%	60%	20%	23%	16%	19%	40%	57%	60%	29%
	Safe consumption site	37%	16%	50%	51%	45%	40%	20%	55%	52%	57%	55%	39%	21%	25%	44%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE	Unweighted		(1200)	(756)	(444)	(574)	(622)	(331)	(382)	(487)	(121)	(189)	(264)	(208)	(191)	(223)
			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	720	720		349	367	209	294	216	104	149	96	103	144	120
			60%	100%		60%	60%	56%	65%	59%	57%	64%	57%	54%	64%	60%
	Northwest	Cases	136	136		58	76	31	73	31	10	38	11	21	35	20
			11%	19%		10%	12%	8%	16%	8%	5%	17%	6%	11%	16%	10%
	Core	Cases	136	136		65	70	61	47	28	37	19	10	23	28	19
			11%	19%		11%	11%	16%	10%	8%	20%	8%	6%	12%	13%	9%
	Southwest	Cases	184	184		92	90	53	71	59	23	42	27	30	27	33
			15%	26%		16%	15%	14%	16%	16%	13%	18%	16%	16%	12%	17%
	Southeast	Cases	119	119		51	68	24	40	55	10	15	26	14	25	29
			10%	17%		9%	11%	6%	9%	15%	5%	7%	16%	7%	11%	14%
	Northeast	Cases	145	145		82	63	40	63	43	24	34	23	15	28	20
			12%	20%		14%	10%	11%	14%	12%	13%	15%	14%	8%	13%	10%
	Rural	Cases	480		480	233	247	168	162	151	79	83	71	88	79	79
			40%		100%	40%	40%	44%	35%	41%	43%	36%	43%	46%	36%	40%
GENDER	Male	Count	582	349	233	582		183	231	167	183	231	167			
			48%	48%	49%	100%		49%	51%	46%	100%	100%	100%			
	Female	Count	614	367	247		614	192	223	200				192	223	200
			51%	51%	51%		100%	51%	49%	54%				100%	100%	100%
	Other	Count	4	4				2	2							
			0%	1%				0%	0%							

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO	N <16 AT ME	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	NOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	ŢUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE	Unweighted		(1200)	(193)	(250)	(740)	(271)	(913)	(251)	(415)	(367)	(142)	(1006)	(140)	(64)	(994)
			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	720	100	157	454	179	533	147	234	241	93	600	87	45	586
			60%	48%	61%	64%	56%	62%	62%	58%	63%	63%	60%	65%	76%	58%
	Northwest	Cases	136	17	30	88	46	87	22	44	46	11	123	21	11	104
			11%	8%	12%	12%	15%	10%	9%	11%	12%	7%	12%	16%	18%	10%
	Core	Cases	136	25	34	74	28	106	43	44	39	39	92	17	8	111
			11%	12%	13%	10%	9%	12%	18%	11%	10%	26%	9%	13%	14%	11%
	Southwest	Cases	184	20	32	131	41	141	36	55	66	16	161	25	14	145
			15%	10%	12%	18%	13%	16%	15%	14%	17%	11%	16%	19%	24%	14%
	Southeast	Cases	119	12	19	87	30	89	20	30	47	10	105	12	5	103
			10%	6%	8%	12%	10%	10%	9%	7%	12%	7%	11%	9%	8%	10%
	Northeast	Cases	145	26	41	75	34	110	25	60	42	18	119	12	7	123
			12%	12%	16%	10%	11%	13%	11%	15%	11%	12%	12%	9%	12%	12%
	Rural	Cases	480	108	99	261	138	329	90	172	143	55	398	46	14	419
			40%	52%	39%	36%	44%	38%	38%	42%	37%	37%	40%	35%	24%	42%
GENDER	Male	Count	582	118	119	334	145	425	116	212	184	80	476	68	30	484
			48%	57%	46%	47%	46%	49%	49%	52%	48%	54%	48%	51%	51%	48%
	Female	Count	614	90	136	379	173	433	120	192	199	67	520	65	29	517
			51%	43%	53%	53%	54%	50%	51%	47%	52%	46%	52%	49%	49%	51%
	Other	Count	4		2	2		4	1	2		1	3			4
			0%		1%	0%		0%	0%	0%		1%	0%			0%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VC	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	DN .	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE .	VIEWS ON	N BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE	Unweighted		(1200)	(372)	(326)	(218)	(121)	(116)	(426)	(297)	(182)	(52)	(268)	(243)	(369)	(413)	(787)
			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	720	211	209	132	76	64	258	189	103	38	169	154	208	254	466
			60%	50%	68%	69%	69%	53%	52%	74%	72%	78%	74%	64%	53%	55%	63%
	Northwest	Cases	136	40	37	24	12	17	55	27	17	6	23	20	51	53	83
			11%	10%	12%	13%	11%	14%	11%	11%	12%	13%	10%	8%	13%	11%	11%
	Core	Cases	136	18	50	17	27	13	19	52	19	16	47	31	18	33	103
			11%	4%	16%	9%	25%	11%	4%	21%	14%	34%	20%	13%	5%	7%	14%
	Southwest	Cases	184	54	42	53	15	13	64	42	32	8	36	34	63	70	114
			15%	13%	14%	28%	14%	11%	13%	16%	23%	16%	16%	14%	16%	15%	15%
	Southeast	Cases	119	45	31	20	13	9	49	31	22	3	30	25	39	43	76
			10%	11%	10%	10%	12%	8%	10%	12%	15%	7%	13%	11%	10%	9%	10%
	Northeast	Cases	145	54	49	18	9	12	72	37	12	4	33	45	36	55	90
			12%	13%	16%	9%	8%	10%	14%	14%	9%	8%	15%	19%	9%	12%	12%
	Rural	Cases	480	211	98	58	34	56	241	66	39	10	60	86	181	206	274
			40%	50%	32%	31%	31%	47%	48%	26%	28%	22%	26%	36%	47%	45%	37%
GENDER	Male	Count	582	231	136	84	51	45	261	122	57	23	110	119	200	268	314
			48%	55%	44%	44%	47%	38%	52%	48%	40%	48%	48%	49%	52%	58%	42%
	Female	Count	614	191	171	105	56	75	239	133	84	22	119	120	188	191	423
			51%	45%	56%	55%	51%	62%	48%	52%	59%	46%	52%	50%	48%	42%	57%
	Other	Count	4			1	3				1	3		2	1		4
			0%			1%	3%				1%	6%		1%	0%		1%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Education, Children At Home

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
EDUCATION	Less than high	Count	17	10	7	12	5	8	1	8	6		6	1	1	3
	school		1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	4%		3%	1%	0%	1%
	High school	Count	191	90	101	106	84	88	51	52	51	31	24	37	20	27
	graduate		16%	12%	21%	18%	14%	23%	11%	14%	28%	13%	15%	19%	9%	14%
	Some college/	Count	257	157	99	119	136	94	86	77	47	45	28	46	41	49
	university		21%	22%	21%	20%	22%	25%	19%	21%	25%	19%	17%	24%	18%	25%
	College/ University	Count	518	309	209	235	283	159	208	151	63	99	72	95	109	79
	graduate		43%	43%	43%	40%	46%	42%	46%	41%	35%	43%	43%	50%	49%	39%
	Postgraduate	Count	198	145	52	99	96	20	105	73	10	54	35	10	49	38
	degree		16%	20%	11%	17%	16%	5%	23%	20%	6%	23%	21%	5%	22%	19%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	20	8	12	10	10	8	6	6	5	2	2	3	4	3
			2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	317	179	138	145	173	95	211	11	32	109	3	63	102	8
UNDER 16			26%	25%	29%	25%	28%	25%	46%	3%	17%	47%	2%	33%	46%	4%
AT HOME	No	Count	862	533	329	425	433	270	241	352	142	121	162	126	118	189
			72%	74%	69%	73%	71%	72%	53%	96%	77%	52%	97%	66%	53%	95%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	21	8	12	12	8	12	4	4	10	1	1	3	3	3
			2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Education, Children At Home, Residence Ownership

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
EDUCATION	Less than high	Count	17	17			3	15	9	6	1	3	14	1		16
	school		1%	8%			1%	2%	4%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%		2%
	High school	Count	191	191			48	139	48	78	32	35	149	17	7	167
	graduate		16%	92%			15%	16%	20%	19%	8%	24%	15%	13%	12%	17%
	Some college/	Count	257		257		63	193	70	95	61	39	206	24	15	217
	university		21%		100%		20%	22%	30%	23%	16%	26%	21%	18%	25%	22%
	College/ University	Count	518			518	137	374	93	160	192	52	444	66	27	425
	graduate		43%			72%	43%	43%	39%	39%	50%	35%	44%	49%	46%	42%
	Postgraduate	Count	198			198	63	133	12	66	97	16	177	26	10	162
	degree		16%			28%	20%	15%	5%	16%	25%	11%	18%	19%	17%	16%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	20				3	8	5	1	1	3	10		1	18
			2%				1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%		1%	2%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	317	51	63	201	317		40	113	145	39	263	39	15	263
UNDER 16			26%	24%	25%	28%	100%		17%	28%	38%	26%	26%	30%	25%	26%
AT HOME	No	Count	862	153	193	507		862	196	289	237	109	725	92	44	724
			72%	74%	75%	71%		100%	83%	71%	62%	74%	73%	69%	75%	72%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	21	4		8			1	4	1		11	1		18
			2%	2%		1%			0%	1%	0%		1%	1%		2%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Education, Children At Home, Residence Ownership

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VC	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	ΡΈ	VIEWS ON	BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
EDUCATION	Less than high	Count	17	5	4	1	0	3	5	2	1		2	4	3	10	7
	school		1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	High school	Count	191	83	35	20	16	24	87	25	14	8	23	32	65	97	94
	graduate		16%	20%	12%	10%	14%	20%	17%	10%	10%	16%	10%	13%	17%	21%	13%
	Some college/	Count	257	96	54	43	34	20	106	42	42	10	37	48	92	101	156
	university		21%	23%	18%	22%	31%	17%	21%	17%	29%	21%	16%	20%	24%	22%	21%
	College/ University	Count	518	187	123	95	39	55	222	112	66	21	99	113	170	197	321
	graduate		43%	44%	40%	50%	35%	46%	44%	44%	47%	43%	43%	47%	44%	43%	43%
	Postgraduate	Count	198	46	84	29	21	12	68	71	19	10	65	38	54	50	148
	degree		16%	11%	27%	15%	19%	10%	14%	28%	13%	20%	28%	16%	14%	11%	20%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	20	5	7	2		5	11	3	0		3	7	5	5	15
			2%	1%	2%	1%		4%	2%	1%	0%		1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	317	119	86	46	30	27	137	79	34	13	72	54	108	121	196
UNDER 16 AT HOME			26%	28%	28%	24%	27%	23%	27%	31%	24%	27%	31%	23%	28%	26%	26%
AT HOME	No	Count	862	297	216	143	79	86	353	175	108	34	156	180	277	326	536
			72%	70%	70%	75%	72%	72%	71%	69%	76%	70%	68%	75%	71%	71%	72%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	21	5	6	1	1	6	10	1		1	1	6	4	12	9
			2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	5%	2%	0%		3%	0%	3%	1%	3%	1%

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
AGE	18 to 34	Count	377	209	168	183	192	377			183			192		
			31%	29%	35%	31%	31%	100%			100%			100%		
	35 to 44	Count	165	109	56	93	72		165			93			72	
			14%	15%	12%	16%	12%		36%			40%			32%	
	45 to 54	Count	291	185	105	138	151		291			138			151	
			24%	26%	22%	24%	25%		64%			60%			68%	
	55 to 64	Count	103	48	56	40	64			103			40			64
			9%	7%	12%	7%	10%			28%			24%			32%
	65 and older	Count	264	169	95	128	136			264			128			136
			22%	23%	20%	22%	22%			72%			76%			68%
HOUSEHOLD	Less than	Count	91	66	25	53	37	42	23	26	29	15	10	13	8	16
INCOME	\$30,000		8%	9%	5%	9%	6%	11%	5%	7%	16%	6%	6%	7%	4%	8%
	\$30,000 to	Count	146	81	65	63	83	55	38	52	22	17	24	34	21	28
	\$49,999		12%	11%	13%	11%	14%	15%	8%	14%	12%	7%	14%	18%	9%	14%
	\$50,000 to	Count	246	138	108	117	129	92	65	88	41	37	39	51	28	49
	\$79,999		20%	19%	22%	20%	21%	24%	14%	24%	22%	16%	23%	27%	13%	25%
	\$80,000 to	Count	160	96	65	95	63	57	54	49	35	31	29	22	21	21
	\$99,999		13%	13%	13%	16%	10%	15%	12%	13%	19%	14%	17%	11%	9%	10%
	\$100,000 to	Count	242	147	94	118	124	57	131	54	25	69	24	32	62	30
	\$149,999		20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	15%	29%	15%	13%	30%	15%	17%	28%	15%
	\$150,000 or	Count	141	93	48	66	76	27	86	28	10	42	14	17	44	15
	more		12%	13%	10%	11%	12%	7%	19%	8%	5%	18%	8%	9%	20%	7%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	174	99	75	70	103	46	59	69	22	20	28	23	39	41
			14%	14%	16%	12%	17%	12%	13%	19%	12%	9%	16%	12%	17%	21%

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO	N <16 AT	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
AGE	18 to 34	Count	377	96	94	179	95	270	98	149	84	83	277	53	15	309
			31%	46%	37%	25%	30%	31%	41%	37%	22%	56%	28%	40%	25%	31%
	35 to 44	Count	165	21	23	120	111	52	23	49	75	23	132	14	2	149
			14%	10%	9%	17%	35%	6%	10%	12%	19%	15%	13%	11%	4%	15%
	45 to 54	Count	291	31	63	193	100	189	38	71	143	21	258	18	22	248
			24%	15%	25%	27%	32%	22%	16%	17%	37%	14%	26%	14%	38%	25%
	55 to 64	Count	103	14	14	74	4	98	12	36	40	9	88	12	4	88
			9%	7%	5%	10%	1%	11%	5%	9%	10%	6%	9%	9%	6%	9%
	65 and older	Count	264	46	63	150	8	254	66	102	43	12	244	36	16	211
			22%	22%	24%	21%	2%	29%	28%	25%	11%	8%	24%	27%	28%	21%
HOUSEHOLD	Less than	Count	91	26	26	36	14	77	91			16	73	18	3	70
INCOME	\$30,000		8%	12%	10%	5%	4%	9%	38%			11%	7%	14%	5%	7%
	\$30,000 to	Count	146	32	44	68	26	119	146			13	129	13	8	125
	\$49,999		12%	15%	17%	10%	8%	14%	62%			9%	13%	10%	13%	12%
	\$50,000 to	Count	246	60	59	126	67	178		246		32	207	30	14	202
	\$79,999		20%	29%	23%	18%	21%	21%		61%		22%	21%	23%	23%	20%
	\$80,000 to	Count	160	23	36	99	46	112		160		23	130	16	9	133
	\$99,999		13%	11%	14%	14%	14%	13%		39%		15%	13%	12%	15%	13%
	\$100,000 to	Count	242	26	44	172	89	153			242	31	206	23	5	214
	\$149,999		20%	13%	17%	24%	28%	18%			63%	21%	21%	17%	9%	21%
	\$150,000 or	Count	141	7	17	116	57	85			141	18	117	15	11	116
	more		12%	3%	7%	16%	18%	10%			37%	12%	12%	11%	18%	12%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	174	34	30	97	19	140				15	136	17	11	146
			14%	16%	12%	14%	6%	16%				10%	14%	13%	18%	15%

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

					PROV	VOTE INTE	NTION		VO	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PΕ	VIEWS ON	BUDGET
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
AGE	18 to 34	Count	377	79	92	76	49	48	85	59	59	20	47	57	74	144	233
			31%	19%	30%	40%	45%	40%	17%	23%	42%	42%	20%	24%	19%	31%	31%
	35 to 44	Count	165	64	49	17	17	14	77	38	19	7	35	35	58	69	96
			14%	15%	16%	9%	15%	12%	15%	15%	13%	15%	15%	14%	15%	15%	13%
	45 to 54	Count	291	106	79	46	21	32	135	70	27	11	64	60	106	104	187
			24%	25%	26%	24%	19%	27%	27%	28%	19%	24%	28%	25%	27%	23%	25%
	55 to 64	Count	103	54	26	7	6	9	63	21	10	4	20	23	49	44	60
			9%	13%	9%	4%	6%	8%	13%	8%	7%	7%	9%	10%	13%	9%	8%
	65 and older	Count	264	119	62	44	16	17	140	67	27	6	63	65	103	99	165
			22%	28%	20%	23%	15%	14%	28%	26%	19%	12%	27%	27%	26%	21%	22%
HOUSEHOLD	Less than	Count	91	23	16	16	14	12	19	13	15	4	13	15	17	29	62
INCOME	\$30,000		8%	6%	5%	8%	13%	10%	4%	5%	11%	9%	5%	6%	4%	6%	8%
	\$30,000 to	Count	146	58	35	22	13	14	59	25	25	8	22	30	55	46	100
	\$49,999		12%	14%	11%	11%	12%	11%	12%	10%	18%	17%	10%	13%	14%	10%	13%
	\$50,000 to	Count	246	92	61	38	25	17	99	57	26	12	50	36	85	99	147
	\$79,999		20%	22%	20%	20%	23%	14%	20%	22%	18%	24%	22%	15%	22%	22%	20%
	\$80,000 to	Count	160	54	50	23	12	17	65	40	17	8	37	39	38	59	101
	\$99,999		13%	13%	16%	12%	11%	14%	13%	16%	12%	16%	16%	16%	10%	13%	14%
	\$100,000 to	Count	242	80	76	42	22	16	114	56	28	10	51	68	75	98	144
	\$149,999		20%	19%	25%	22%	20%	14%	23%	22%	20%	21%	22%	28%	19%	21%	19%
	\$150,000 or	Count	141	52	40	23	12	7	62	35	18	2	32	24	55	57	84
	more		12%	12%	13%	12%	11%	6%	12%	14%	13%	4%	14%	10%	14%	12%	11%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	174	63	31	27	12	37	82	28	13	4	24	28	63	71	103
			14%	15%	10%	14%	11%	31%	16%	11%	9%	9%	11%	12%	16%	15%	14%

SECTION DS Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	IDER		AGE			MALES		FEMALES		
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1200)	(720)	(480)	(582)	(614)	(377)	(456)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(223)	(200)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	Cases	148	93	55	80	67	83	44	21	54	15	10	28	29	11
INDIGENOUS			12%	13%	11%	14%	11%	22%	10%	6%	30%	7%	6%	15%	13%	5%
	First Nations	Cases	51	37	14	30	21	38	9	3	24	4	2	14	5	2
			4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	10%	2%	1%	13%	2%	1%	7%	2%	1%
	Métis	Cases	85	49	36	42	42	40	31	14	25	10	7	14	21	7
			7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	11%	7%	4%	14%	4%	4%	7%	10%	3%
	Inuit	Cases	3	2	1	2	1	2		1	2					1
			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%		0%	1%					0%
	Multiple	Cases	8	5	3	5	3	3	3	2	3	1	1		2	1
			1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%		1%	0%
	No/ Not indigenous	Cases	999	600	398	476	520	277	390	332	121	206	149	155	183	182
			83%	83%	83%	82%	85%	73%	86%	90%	66%	89%	89%	81%	82%	91%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	53	26	27	27	27	17	22	14	9	11	8	8	12	7
			4%	4%	6%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	3%
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	1064	630	434	514	546	324	421	319	157	213	145	166	206	174
			89%	88%	90%	88%	89%	86%	92%	87%	86%	92%	86%	86%	93%	87%
	No	Count	133	87	46	68	65	53	32	48	27	19	23	26	14	25
			11%	12%	10%	12%	11%	14%	7%	13%	14%	8%	14%	14%	6%	13%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	3	3			3		3						3	
			0%	0%			0%		1%						1%	
BASE: BORN IN CANADA	A		(1064)	(630)	(434)	(514)	(546)	(324)	(421)	(319)	(157)	(213)	(145)	(166)	(206)	(174)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	Count	870	489	381	420	449	280	343	247	139	174	106	140	169	140
CANADA			82%	78%	88%	82%	82%	86%	82%	77%	89%	82%	74%	84%	82%	81%
	One	Count	124	88	36	60	61	26	49	50	9	26	25	16	20	25
			12%	14%	8%	12%	11%	8%	12%	16%	6%	12%	17%	10%	10%	14%
	Neither	Count	59	45	14	30	29	15	24	20	8	11	11	7	14	9
			6%	7%	3%	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	8%	4%	7%	5%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	11	8	3	3	7	4	4	2	0	1	1	3	3	1
			1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%

SECTION DS Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

					EDUCATION			N <16 AT ME	HOU	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS	IMM	IIGRANT STA	TUS
			TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE			(1200)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(317)	(862)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	Cases	148	38	39	68	39	109	29	55	49	148		6	2	140
INDIGENOUS			12%	18%	15%	10%	12%	13%	12%	13%	13%	100%		4%	3%	14%
	First Nations	Cases	51	16	15	17	13	38	13	18	19	51		1		50
			4%	8%	6%	2%	4%	4%	6%	4%	5%	34%		1%		5%
	Métis	Cases	85	19	23	43	24	62	13	33	26	85		4	2	79
			7%	9%	9%	6%	7%	7%	5%	8%	7%	58%		3%	3%	8%
	Inuit	Cases	3	1		2		3	1	2	0	3				3
			0%	1%		0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	2%				0%
	Multiple	Cases	8	2	1	5	3	6	1	1	5	8				8
			1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	6%				1%
	No/ Not indigenous	Cases	999	163	206	620	263	725	202	337	323		999	122	55	822
			83%	78%	80%	87%	83%	84%	85%	83%	84%		100%	92%	93%	82%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	53	7	12	27	15	29	6	14	11			5	2	43
			4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%			4%	4%	4%
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	1064	190	232	624	278	768	205	357	346	142	877		59	1005
			89%	91%	90%	87%	88%	89%	87%	88%	90%	96%	88%		100%	100%
	No	Count	133	18	24	92	39	92	32	46	38	6	122	133		
			11%	9%	9%	13%	12%	11%	13%	11%	10%	4%	12%	100%		
	(Refused/NS)	Count	3		1			1		3						
			0%		1%			0%		1%						
BASE: BORN IN CANAD)A		(1064)	(190)	(232)	(624)	(278)	(768)	(205)	(357)	(346)	(142)	(877)		(59)	(1005)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	Count	870	160	183	513	232	626	164	297	294	128	708			870
CANADA			82%	84%	79%	82%	84%	81%	80%	83%	85%	90%	81%			87%
	One	Count	124	21	32	70	31	93	31	38	36	13	108			124
			12%	11%	14%	11%	11%	12%	15%	11%	10%	9%	12%			12%
	Neither	Count	59	7	15	37	15	44	11	22	16	2	55		59	
			6%	4%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%	1%	6%		100%	
	(Refused/NS)	Count	11	2	2	4		5	0				6			11
			1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	0%				1%			1%

SECTION DS Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

					PROV	VOTE INTEN	NTION		V	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TY	VIEWS O	N BUDGET	
			TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE			(1200)	(422)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(500)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(240)	(389)	(459)	(741)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	Cases	148	30	38	28	17	15	34	39	22	14	34	38	30	53	94
INDIGENOUS			12%	7%	12%	15%	16%	12%	7%	15%	15%	30%	15%	16%	8%	12%	13%
	First Nations	Cases	51	10	11	12	7	6	11	13	9	10	11	21	8	25	26
			4%	2%	3%	6%	6%	5%	2%	5%	6%	21%	5%	9%	2%	5%	4%
	Métis	Cases	85	20	24	15	11	8	21	21	12	3	18	14	22	27	58
			7%	5%	8%	8%	10%	7%	4%	8%	8%	7%	8%	6%	6%	6%	8%
	Inuit	Cases	3		1					1			1				3
			0%		0%					0%			0%				0%
	Multiple	Cases	8		2	1		1	2	4	1	1	4	3	1	1	7
			1%		1%	0%		1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%
	No/ Not	Cases	999	369	262	155	87	99	435	211	117	32	193	191	337	373	
	indigenous		83%	88%	85%	81%	79%	82%	87%	83%	82%	66%	84%	79%	87%	81%	84%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	53	23	7	7	6	6	31	5	4	2	2	12	21	33	
			4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	5%	6%	2%	3%	4%	1%	5%	5%	7%	
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	1064	381	276	166	99	103	458	231	128	46	207	220	360	403	
			89%	90%	90%	87%	90%	86%	92%	91%	90%	96%	91%	92%	93%	88%	89%
	No	Count	133	38	32	24	11	17	39	24	14	2	21	17	29	54	
			11%	9%	10%	13%	10%	14%	8%	9%	10%	4%	9%	7%	7%	12%	11%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	3	3					3					3		3	
			0%	1%					1%					1%		1%	
BASE: BORN IN CANAD	A		(1064)	(381)	(276)	(166)	(99)	(103)	(458)	(231)	(128)	(46)	(207)	(220)	(360)	(403)	(661)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	Count	870	308	234	134	77	82	378	184	104	38	163	184	290	328	
CANADA			82%	81%	85%	81%	78%	80%	83%	79%	81%	83%	79%	84%	81%	81%	82%
	One	Count	124	50	30	16	15	9	54	30	15	7	29	26	45	51	74
			12%	13%	11%	10%	15%	9%	12%	13%	12%	14%	14%	12%	13%	13%	11%
	Neither	Count	59	19	11	13	6	9	22	15	9	2	14	9	20	18	
			6%	5%	4%	8%	6%	9%	5%	6%	7%	3%	7%	4%	5%	4%	
	(Refused/NS)	Count	11	3	2	2	1	3	4	2	1		1	0	5	6	
			1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%		0%	0%	1%	2%	1%

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

			PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	IDER		AGE			MALES			FEMALES	
		TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE		(1180)	(712)	(468)	(572)	(604)	(369)	(450)	(361)	(178)	(229)	(165)	(189)	(219)	(196)
EDUCATION	Less than high school	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	4%		3%	1%	0%	1%
	High school graduate	16%	13%	22%	19%	14%	24%	11%	14%	29%	13%	15%	20%	9%	14%
	Some college/ university	22%	22%	21%	21%	22%	26%	19%	21%	26%	20%	17%	24%	19%	25%
	College/ University graduate	44%	43%	45%	41%	47%	43%	46%	42%	36%	43%	44%	50%	50%	40%
	Postgraduate degree	17%	20%	11%	17%	16%	5%	23%	20%	6%	24%	21%	5%	22%	20%
BASE		(1179)	(712)	(468)	(570)	(606)	(364)	(452)	(363)	(174)	(230)	(166)	(189)	(220)	(197)
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	27%	25%	30%	25%	28%	26%	47%	3%	18%	47%	2%	33%	46%	4%
16 AT HOME	No	73%	75%	70%	75%	72%	74%	53%	97%	82%	53%	98%	67%	54%	96%
BASE		(1026)	(621)	(405)	(512)	(511)	(330)	(397)	(298)	(161)	(211)	(140)	(168)	(184)	(159)
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	9%	11%	6%	10%	7%	13%	6%	9%	18%	7%	7%	8%	4%	10%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,999	14%	13%	16%	12%	16%	17%	10%	18%	13%	8%	17%	20%	11%	18%
	\$50,000 to \$79,999	24%	22%	27%	23%	25%	28%	16%	30%	26%	17%	28%	30%	15%	31%
	\$80,000 to \$99,999	16%	15%	16%	19%	12%	17%	14%	17%	22%	15%	21%	13%	11%	13%
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	24%	24%	23%	23%	24%	17%	33%	18%	15%	33%	17%	19%	34%	19%
	\$150,000 or more	14%	15%	12%	13%	15%	8%	22%	10%	6%	20%	10%	10%	24%	9%
BASE		(1147)	(694)	(453)	(555)	(588)	(360)	(434)	(352)	(175)	(221)	(160)	(184)	(211)	(193)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	13%	13%	12%	14%	11%	23%	10%	6%	31%	7%	6%	15%	14%	5%
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	11%	2%	1%	14%	2%	1%	8%	2%	1%
	Métis	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	11%	7%	4%	14%	4%	5%	8%	10%	4%
	Inuit	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%		0%	1%					0%
	Multiple	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%		1%	1%
	No/ Not indigenous	87%	87%	88%	86%	89%	77%	90%	94%	69%	93%	94%	85%	86%	95%
BASE		(1197)	(717)	(480)	(582)	(611)	(377)	(453)	(367)	(183)	(231)	(167)	(192)	(220)	(200)
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	89%	88%	90%	88%	89%	86%	93%	87%	86%	92%	86%	86%	94%	87%
	No	11%	12%	10%	12%	11%	14%	7%	13%	14%	8%	14%	14%	6%	13%
BASE		(1053)	(623)	(431)	(511)	(539)	(320)	(417)	(317)	(156)	(211)	(143)	(162)	(203)	(173)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	83%	79%	88%	82%	83%	87%	82%	78%	89%	82%	74%	86%	83%	81%
CANADA	One	12%	14%	8%	12%	11%	8%	12%	16%	6%	12%	18%	10%	10%	14%
	Neither	6%	7%	3%	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	8%	4%	7%	5%

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

				EDUCATION		CHILDRE	N <16 AT	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIG	ENOUS	IMM	IGRANT STA	TUS
		TOTAL	HS/ less	Post-Sec	Grad +	Yes	No	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	1st Gen	2nd Gen	Non
BASE		(1180)	(208)	(257)	(715)	(315)	(854)	(232)	(404)	(382)	(145)	(989)	(133)	(58)	(987)
EDUCATION	Less than high school	1%	8%	, ,	, ,	1%	2%	4%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	, ,	2%
	High school graduate	16%	92%			15%	16%	21%	19%	8%	24%	15%	13%	12%	17%
	Some college/ university	22%		100%		20%	23%	30%	24%	16%	27%	21%	18%	25%	22%
	College/ University graduate	44%			72%	44%	44%	40%	40%	50%	36%	45%	49%	46%	43%
	Postgraduate degree	17%			28%	20%	16%	5%	16%	25%	11%	18%	19%	17%	16%
BASE		(1179)	(204)	(257)	(708)	(317)	(862)	(236)	(402)	(383)	(148)	(988)	(132)	(59)	(987)
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	27%	25%	25%	28%	100%		17%	28%	38%	26%	27%	30%	25%	27%
16 AT HOME	No	73%	75%	75%	72%		100%	83%	72%	62%	74%	73%	70%	75%	73%
BASE		(1026)	(174)	(226)	(618)	(299)	(723)	(237)	(406)	(383)	(133)	(863)	(116)	(48)	(859)
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	9%	15%	12%	6%	5%	11%	38%			12%	8%	16%	6%	8%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,999	14%	18%	20%	11%	9%	16%	62%			10%	15%	11%	16%	15%
	\$50,000 to \$79,999	24%	35%	26%	20%	22%	25%		61%		24%	24%	26%	28%	23%
	\$80,000 to \$99,999	16%	13%	16%	16%	15%	15%		39%		17%	15%	14%	18%	15%
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	24%	15%	19%	28%	30%	21%			63%	24%	24%	20%	11%	25%
	\$150,000 or more	14%	4%	7%	19%	19%	12%			37%	14%	14%	13%	22%	13%
BASE		(1147)	(201)	(244)	(688)	(302)	(833)	(231)	(392)	(373)	(148)	(999)	(128)	(57)	(962)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	13%	19%	16%	10%	13%	13%	12%	14%	13%	100%		4%	3%	15%
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	4%	8%	6%	3%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%	34%		1%		5%
	Métis	7%	9%	9%	6%	8%	7%	5%	9%	7%	58%		3%	3%	8%
	Inuit	0%	1%		0%		0%	1%	0%	0%	2%				0%
	Multiple	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	6%				1%
	No/ Not indigenous	87%	81%	84%	90%	87%	87%	88%	86%	87%		100%	96%	97%	85%
BASE		(1197)	(208)	(255)	(715)	(317)	(861)	(237)	(403)	(383)	(148)	(999)	(133)	(59)	(1005)
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	89%	91%	91%	87%	88%	89%	87%	89%	90%	96%	88%		100%	100%
	No	11%	9%	9%	13%	12%	11%	13%	11%	10%	4%	12%	100%		
BASE		(1053)	(188)	(230)	(620)	(278)	(763)	(205)	(357)	(346)	(142)	(871)		(59)	(994)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	83%	85%	80%	83%	84%	82%	80%	83%	85%	90%	81%			88%
CANADA	One	12%	11%	14%	11%	11%	12%	15%	11%	10%	9%	12%			12%
	Neither	6%	4%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%	1%	6%		100%	

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

				PROV	VOTE INTE	NTION		V	OTED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	ON	ND	P VOTER TYP	PE	VIEWS OF	N BUDGET
		TOTAL	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Committed	Lapsed	Non	Balance	Spend
BASE		(1180)	(417)	(301)	(188)	(110)	(114)	(489)	(252)	(141)	(48)	(226)	(234)	(384)	(454)	(726)
EDUCATION	Less than high school	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	High school graduate	16%	20%	12%	11%	14%	21%	18%	10%	10%	16%	10%	14%	17%	21%	13%
	Some college/ university	22%	23%	18%	23%	31%	18%	22%	17%	29%	21%	16%	20%	24%	22%	21%
	College/ University graduate	44%	45%	41%	51%	35%	48%	45%	44%	47%	43%	44%	48%	44%	43%	44%
	Postgraduate degree	17%	11%	28%	16%	19%	11%	14%	28%	13%	20%	29%	16%	14%	11%	20%
BASE		(1179)	(416)	(302)	(189)	(109)	(113)	(490)	(254)	(142)	(47)	(228)	(234)	(384)	(447)	(732)
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	27%	29%	28%	24%	27%	24%	28%	31%	24%	28%	32%	23%	28%	27%	27%
16 AT HOME	No	73%	71%	72%	76%	73%	76%	72%	69%	76%	72%	68%	77%	72%	73%	73%
BASE		(1026)	(359)	(277)	(163)	(98)	(83)	(418)	(227)	(129)	(44)	(205)	(212)	(326)	(388)	(638)
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	9%	7%	6%	9%	15%	15%	5%	6%	12%	10%	6%	7%	5%	8%	10%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,999	14%	16%	13%	13%	14%	17%	14%	11%	19%	18%	11%	14%	17%	12%	16%
<u> </u>	\$50,000 to \$79,999	24%	26%	22%	24%	26%	21%	24%	25%	20%	27%	25%	17%	26%	26%	23%
	\$80,000 to \$99,999	16%	15%	18%	14%	12%	20%	16%	18%	13%	18%	18%	19%	12%	15%	16%
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	24%	22%	27%	26%	22%	20%	27%	25%	22%	23%	25%	32%	23%	25%	23%
	\$150,000 or more	14%	14%	14%	14%	12%	8%	15%	16%	14%	4%	16%	11%	17%	15%	13%
BASE		(1147)	(399)	(300)	(183)	(104)	(113)	(468)	(250)	(138)	(46)	(227)	(228)	(367)	(426)	(720)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	13%	7%	13%	15%	16%	13%	7%	15%	16%	31%	15%	16%	8%	12%	13%
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	4%	3%	4%	6%	6%	5%	2%	5%	6%	22%	5%	9%	2%	6%	4%
	Métis	7%	5%	8%	8%	10%	7%	4%	8%	9%	7%	8%	6%	6%	6%	8%
	Inuit	0%		0%					0%			0%				0%
	Multiple	1%		1%	0%		1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%
	No/ Not indigenous	87%	93%	87%	85%	84%	87%	93%	85%	84%	69%	85%	84%	92%	88%	87%
BASE	_	(1197)	(419)	(308)	(190)	(110)	(120)	(497)	(255)	(142)	(48)	(229)	(238)	(389)	(457)	(741)
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	89%	91%	90%	87%	90%	86%	92%	91%	90%	96%	91%	93%	93%	88%	89%
	No	11%	9%	10%	13%	10%	14%	8%	9%	10%	4%	9%	7%	7%	12%	11%
BASE		(1053)	(378)	(275)	(164)	(98)	(100)	(454)	(229)	(127)	(46)	(206)	(220)	(356)	(396)	(657)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	83%	82%	85%	82%	78%	82%	83%	80%	81%	83%	79%	84%	82%	83%	83%
CANADA	One	12%	13%	11%	10%	16%	9%	12%	13%	12%	14%	14%	12%	13%	13%	11%
	Neither	6%	5%	4%	8%	6%	9%	5%	7%	7%	3%	7%	4%	6%	5%	6%